1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1		I N D E X	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	2	WITNESS	EXAMINATION BY	PAGE
3	JOANNE HART and AMANDA PARKE, on behalf	3	MICHAEL POTTER	MR. OSTOJIC	5
4	of themselves and all others similarly situated,	5			
5	Plaintiffs,	6		E X H I B I T S	
6	-against-	7	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	FOR I.D
7	BHH, LLC $d/b/a$ BELL & HOWELL, and VAN HAUSER, LLC,	8	Exhibit 1	Expert Report of Dr.	9
8		9		Michael Potter	
9	Defendants.	10 11	Exhibit 2	Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter	10
10		12	Exhibit 3	Documents	64
11	888 Seventh Avenue	13	Exhibit 4	Documents	
12	New York, New York				137
1.2	January 9, 2018	14	Exhibit 5	Document	201
13	9:30 a.m.	15			
14		16	_	_	
15	Deposition of DR. MICHAEL POTTER, before	17	(E	XHIBITS TO BE PRODUCED)	
16 17	Shari Cohen, a Notary Public of the State of New York.	18			
18	101%.	19			
19		20			
20		21			
21		22			
22 23		23			
24		24			
25	1	25			
				AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1 2		STIPULATIONS	
3					
	LEAHY, EISENBERG & FRAENKEL, LTD.	3	IT IS	HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by
4	LEAHY, EISENBERG & FRAENKEL, LTD. Attorneys for Plaintiffs	3		HEREBY STIPULATED AND .	•
			and between		espective
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	4	and between parties here	the attorneys for the r	espective
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100	4 5	and between parties here of the withi	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an	espective d sealing
4 5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ.	4 5 6	and between parties here of the withi IT IS	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND	espective d sealing AGREED
4 5 6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603	4 5 6 7	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t	espective d sealing AGREED he form o
4 5 6 7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554	4 5 6 7 8	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t , shall be reserved to	espective d sealing AGREED he form o
4 5 6 7 8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554	4 5 6 7 8 9	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t , shall be reserved to	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554	4 5 6 7 8 9	and between parties here of the withi	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t , shall be reserved to	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time
4 5 6 7 8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 w. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and between parties here of the within IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the with	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t , shall be reserved to FURTHER STIPULATED AND	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and between parties here of the within IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the with and signed between the street of the trial and signed between the street of the trial and signed between the street of the	the attorneys for the r in, that the filing, an n deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t , shall be reserved to FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be s	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an neposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to tot, shall be reserved tother than the further STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer autho	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to t. FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to t. FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to t. FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to . FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to t. FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127 EMAIL ykopel@bursor.com	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to . FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127 EMAIL ykopel@bursor.com	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to . FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127 EMAIL ykopel@bursor.com ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL WEISS, ESQ Via Telephone	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to . FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127 EMAIL ykopel@bursor.com ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL WEISS, ESQ Via Telephone DR. PAUL BORTH - Via Telephone	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, an deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to t, shall be reserved to . FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authon oath with the same fo signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs 33 W. Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: ROBERT OSTOJIC, ESQ. PHONE 312-368-4554 EMAIL ro@lefltd.com BURSOR & FISHER, P.A. Attorneys for Defendants 888 Seventh Avenue New York, New York 10019 BY: YITZCHAK KOPEL, ESQ. PHONE 646-837-7127 EMAIL ykopel@bursor.com ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL WEISS, ESQ Via Telephone	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and between parties here of the withi IT IS that all obj the question of the trial IT IS that the wit and signed b administer a effect as if	the attorneys for the rin, that the filing, and deposition be waived. FURTHER STIPULATED AND ections, except as to totom, shall be reserved totom. FURTHER STIPULATED AND hin deposition may be sefore any officer authorn oath with the same for signed and sworn to be	espective d sealing AGREED he form o the time AGREED worn to rized to rce and



4	MICHAEL BOTTER 11		
1	MICHAEL POTTER, called as a	1	POTTER
2	witness, having been duly sworn by a	2	along, but if at any time you don't
3	notary public, was examined and	3	understand one of my questions, please state
4	testified as follows:	4	so and I'll try to rephrase it, okay?
5		5	A. Yes.
6	EXAMINATION BY	6	Q. If you give an answer to a
7	MR. OSTOJIC:	7	question, I'll assume you understood my
8	Q. Sir, would you please state	8	question, fair?
9	your name for the record?	9	A. Fair.
10	A. Michael Fred Potter.	10	Q. Because obviously we both have
11	Q. You are here, Dr. Potter, as an	11	flights out of New York tonight, I will try
12	expert witness on behalf of the plaintiffs in	12	to move as quickly as possible so I would ask
13	a case Joanne Hart and Sandra Bueno on behalf	13	that you directly answer the question I pose,
14	of themselves and all others similarly	14	okay?
15	situated persons versus BHH doing business as	15	A. I'll do my best.
16	Bell & Howell, case number 2015-cv-4804 in	16	Q. If you need to elaborate on
17	the United States District Court, Southern	17	something, just please answer first the
18	District of New York, correct?	18	question and let me know if you want to add
19	A. Correct.	19	something and obviously if it's okay with
20	Q. Have you given a deposition	20	your attorney, we can do that, okay?
21	before?	21	A. Okay.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. You have issued two written
23	Q. How many times?	23	reports in this case as an expert, correct?
24	A. Roughly 15.	24	A. Correct.
25	Q. In what capacity did you give	25	Q. Your first report is dated
	5		7
4			
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	those depositions?	2	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct?
2 3	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side	2 3	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side.	2 3 4	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal
2 3 4 5	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in	2 3 4 5	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court?	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No. Q. I'm obviously going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of work or statements made in my reports.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of work or statements made in my reports. Q. Do you have any right now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No. Q. I'm obviously going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of work or statements made in my reports. Q. Do you have any right now opinions that are not found in your two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those depositions? A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No. Q. I'm obviously going to be asking you some questions. I will need you to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of work or statements made in my reports. Q. Do you have any right now opinions that are not found in your two written reports with respect to this case? A. Not without hearing questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Sometimes on the defense side of cases and sometimes on the plaintiff side. Q. Have you ever testified in court? A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. From memory about three to four. Q. Have you testified in court as an expert witness or as a lay person? A. Expert witness. Q. Have you ever been barred from testifying in court? A. No. Q. Have you had any of your opinions in any way limited or barred by any court? A. No. Q. I'm obviously going to be asking you some questions. I will need you to verbalize your responses, okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	POTTER October 31, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. And then you had a rebuttal report dated December 22, 2017, correct? A. Correct. Q. Those two reports include all of your opinions and the bases for those opinions, correct? A. Other than any opinions that I might express in the deposition today of course. Q. Are you intending on giving opinions today that are not found in your two written reports? A. If I'm asked questions that are not related to work that I have done in my reports or if I'm asked an interpretation of work or statements made in my reports. Q. Do you have any right now opinions that are not found in your two written reports with respect to this case?

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	(Exhibit 1, Expert Report of	2	appended to this.
3	Dr. Michael Potter, marked for	3	Q. I want to go back to Exhibit
4	Identification.)	4	number 1 and I will probably go through that
5	Q. Sir, I marked here as expert	5	exhibit first, okay?
6	Deposition Exhibit number 1 and I agreed with	6	A. Okay.
7	counsel that with respect to the expert	7	Q. I just want to make sure we
8	witnesses in this case we would go ahead and	8	both are clear on what all the appendices
9	designate the exhibits starting from	9	were to the first report Exhibit number 1,
10	obviously 1 and proceed down the line so I	10	okay?
11	would like you to take a look at Exhibit 1	11	A. Okay.
12	and tell me is that your report dated October	12	Q. Appendix 1 is your curriculum
13	31, 2017?	13	vitae, right?
14	A. Yes, it appears to be what I	14	A. Correct.
15	submitted.	15	Q. That's a true and complete copy
16	Q. So it has your written report	16	of all of your qualifications and experiences
17	and it includes six appendices, correct?	17	as an expert witness?
18	A. Correct. This last let me	18	A. Yes.
19	just take a quick check here. It appears	19	Q. Appendix number 2 is
20	that the last I don't know if this is a	20	essentially just numbers and some data,
21	full exhibit. I can't recall whether this	21	correct?
22	last portion which is appended as an exhibit	22	A. Of Richard Mankin's acoustical
23	was included with my report or provided by	23	testing of the Bell & Howell device.
24	counsel.	24	Q. Appendix 2 is data that was
25	MR. KOPEL: I believe it's not	25	provided to you by Dr. Mankin, correct, Dr.
	9		11
4	A	-	<u> </u>
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	an appendix to the report.	2	Richard Mankin?
2 3	<pre>an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let</pre>	2 3	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two
2 3 4	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you	2 3 4	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices.
2 3 4 5	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the	2 3 4 5	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some
2 3 4 5 6	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete	2 3 4 5 6	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin?
2 3 4 5 6 7	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is. Q. The rebuttal report had a list	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a report here that also included spiders.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is. Q. The rebuttal report had a list of publications, but I don't think there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Richard Mankin? A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a report here that also included spiders. Q. Also for simplicity sometimes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is. Q. The rebuttal report had a list of publications, but I don't think there was anything else designated as an appendix to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a report here that also included spiders. Q. Also for simplicity sometimes I'll be saying Bell & Howell device or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is. Q. The rebuttal report had a list of publications, but I don't think there was anything else designated as an appendix to the rebuttal, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a report here that also included spiders. Q. Also for simplicity sometimes I'll be saying Bell & Howell device or repeller, obviously I'm talking about devices
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	an appendix to the report. Q. Let me then on the record let me remove that part and right now what you are holding and we'll just put that in the record as Exhibit 1, that's your complete October 31, 2017 report with all of the appendices, correct? A. Correct. (Exhibit 2, Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael Potter, marked for Identification.) Q. Let me show you what we're marking as Deposition Exhibit number 2. It's titled Rebuttal Report of Dr. Michael F. Potter dated December 22, 2017. Would you confirm for me whether that in deed is your December 22, 2017 rebuttal report? A. Yes, it is. Q. The rebuttal report had a list of publications, but I don't think there was anything else designated as an appendix to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Actually there were two devices. Q. Then appendix 3 is also some data. Is that also from Dr. Mankin? A. That's correct. Q. Then appendix number 4 is a report from i2L, correct? A. Correct. Q. i2L did testing of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic repeller devices with respect to insects, certain insects, correct? A. Correct. For simplicity of this deposition when I refer to insects, I mean arthropods because spiders technically are not insects and spiders were included in that so if that's okay I'll just keep saying insects and know we are talking about a report here that also included spiders. Q. Also for simplicity sometimes I'll be saying Bell & Howell device or

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. Fair.	2	someone again who dedicates their career
3	Q. Then appendix number 5 to your	3	working specifically on studies involving
4	first report is a report from Sierra,	4	rodents.
5	correct?	5	Q. Have you ever used the term
6	A. Correct, Sierra Research Labs.	6	rodent scientist?
7	Q. Sierra did testing on the Bell	7	A. For myself?
8	& Howell devices with respect to mice,	8	Q. No, just in general for anyone?
9	correct?	9	A. No.
10	A. Correct.	10	Q. Would you consider yourself a
11	Q. Then appendix number 6 is a	11	rodent scientist?
12	list of the materials you reviewed in this	12	MR. KOPEL: Objection, lack of
13	case, correct?	13	foundation.
14	A. Correct.	14	A. Again, I'm not familiar with
15	Q. I want to first go over	15	that term is not one that's widely used.
1 6	appendix number 1 of Exhibit number 1 which	16	There is probably only a handful of
17	is your CV, okay?	17	rodentologists in the United States that have
18	A. Okay.	18	dedicated their careers to working
19	Q. You hold several degrees in	19	exclusively with rodents and I'm certainly
20	entomology, correct?	20	not within that group, but as I said, I've
21	A. Correct.	21	spent the better part of my professional
22	Q. What is entomology?	22	career working with pests which infest
23	 A. The study of insects. 	23	buildings and certainly insects and rodents
24	Q. Since 1991 you have been	24	are probably the two largest groups that do
25	employed by the University of Kentucky within	25	that.
	13		15
1	POTTER	-	DOTTED.
1 2	POTTER the department of entomology, correct?	1 2	POTTER Q. Do you hold any degrees in
3	A. Correct.	3	wildlife behavior?
4		4	A. No, I do not.
5	Q. Do you hold any degrees in animal behavior?	5	Q. Are you a mammalogist?
6	A. No.	6	A. No, I'm not.
7	Q. Are you a rodentologist?	7	·
8	Q. Are you a roughtorogist:		O Brion to being employed with
_	A Podontologist is a yeary	-	Q. Prior to being employed with
a	A. Rodentologist is a very	8	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did
9 10	specific term much like an entomologist who	8	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct?
10	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents.	8 9 10	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct.
10 11	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist	8 9 10 11	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your
10 11 12	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban	8 9 10 11 12	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right?
10 11 12 13	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences	8 9 10 11 12 13	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were
10 11 12 13 14 15	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their career dedicated to the study of rodents, no,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union Carbide manufacture at that time while you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their career dedicated to the study of rodents, no, but from the standpoint of do I have	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union Carbide manufacture at that time while you were an employee?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their career dedicated to the study of rodents, no, but from the standpoint of do I have tremendous experience working with rodents	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union Carbide manufacture at that time while you were an employee? A. Union Carbide Corporation
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their career dedicated to the study of rodents, no, but from the standpoint of do I have tremendous experience working with rodents and their management, yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union Carbide manufacture at that time while you were an employee? A. Union Carbide Corporation manufactured insecticides, herbicides,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	specific term much like an entomologist who deals specifically with the study of rodents. I consider myself to be both an entomologist and an urban pest management expert and urban pest management at least from my experiences have involved rodents as well as insects. Q. Would you consider yourself a rodentologist though? A. By the definition that it's an individual that specifically has spent their career dedicated to the study of rodents, no, but from the standpoint of do I have tremendous experience working with rodents	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the University of Kentucky in 1991 you did work in the private sector, correct? A. Correct. Q. I think you have listed in your curriculum vitae your experience, right? A. Yes. Q. So from 1982 to 1985 you were an employee of Union Carbide Agricultural Products Company, correct? A. Correct. Q. What products if any did Union Carbide manufacture at that time while you were an employee? A. Union Carbide Corporation

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	 I worked in a variety of 	2	by lethal?
3	sections. It's the agricultural products	3	Q. In other words, they were
4	company, but within that umbrella my job	4	poisons like an insecticide or a pesticide?
5	responsibilities were evaluating insecticides	5	A. From recollection, yes.
6	for use in agriculture, in animal health and	6	Q. From 1988 to 1991 you worked at
7	urban entomology and forestry, basically	7	Orkin Pest Control, correct?
8	anywhere where there could be a pest	8	A. Correct.
9	insecticide that could potentially be	9	Q. You mention something about an
10	utilized on.	10	IPM program while working at Orkin Pest.
11	Q. Did Union Carbide between 1982	11	What's the IPM programs?
12	and 1985 manufacture any consumer products?	12	A. IPM programs are IPM stands
13	A. Yes.	13	for integrated pest management. It's
14	Q. What were the consumer products	14	basically a philosophy of managing pests
15	that Union Carbide manufactured between 1982	15	using a variety of techniques based on
16	and 1985?	16	inspection, prescribing the appropriate
17	A. The most notable one is Sevin	17	solution using both chemical and non-chemical
18	also known as Carbaryl.	18	techniques, but I did a lot more at Orkin
19	Q. Is that an insecticide?	19	than that.
20	A. Yes, it is.	20	I was the national technical
21	Q. Is that used in agricultural	21	director for the company and basically was
22	farms?	22	responsible for all technical decisions of
23	A. It's used in both agricultural	23	the company.
24	uses as well as for household uses.	24	Q. Did Orkin between 1988 and 1991
25	Q. Union Carbide essentially was	25	manufacture any consumer products that it
	17		19
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
1 2	POTTER purchased by Rhone-Poulenc?	1 2	POTTER would sell to the general public?
			MONTH MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF
2	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc?	2	would sell to the general public?
2 3	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French	2 3	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where
2 3 4	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company.	2 3 4	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't
2 3 4 5	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French	2 3 4 5	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well
2 3 4 5 6	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between	2 3 4 5 6	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within
2 3 4 5 6 7	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French Company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they were not yet registered, but we evaluated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am. Q. Is it fair to say that since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they were not yet registered, but we evaluated those products on a wide variety of insect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am. Q. Is it fair to say that since 1991 you have not been employed by anyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they were not yet registered, but we evaluated those products on a wide variety of insect pests in both urban environments as well as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am. Q. Is it fair to say that since 1991 you have not been employed by anyone else other than the University of Kentucky,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they were not yet registered, but we evaluated those products on a wide variety of insect pests in both urban environments as well as agricultural and animal health and forestry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am. Q. Is it fair to say that since 1991 you have not been employed by anyone else other than the University of Kentucky, fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	purchased by Rhone-Poulenc? A. Rhone-Poulenc, a French company. Q. So you worked for this French company that bought out Union Carbide between 1985 and 1988, correct? A. Correct. Q. Essentially the same products that were manufactured by Union Carbide still were manufactured by this new French company that purchased Union Carbide? A. Yes and then Rhone-Poulenc had some of their own products. If I could back up, the products that I worked with between 1982 and 1985 when I was in research and development were experimental materials, they were not yet registered, but we evaluated those products on a wide variety of insect pests in both urban environments as well as agricultural and animal health and forestry. Q. Were all of the products that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would sell to the general public? A. We had a distributorship where we were a formulator of materials. I can't recall whether we sold to consumers as well as to just the branches and offices within Orkin. Q. Then in your CV which is appendix 1 to Exhibit 1 you indicate the nine things that you do while employed with the University of Kentucky since 1991, correct? A. Those are not all the things I do, but they are certainly some of the more notable things that I have spent my time on. Q. Are you a salaried employee at the university? A. Yes, I am. Q. Is it fair to say that since 1991 you have not been employed by anyone else other than the University of Kentucky, fair? A. I have done outside consulting,

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. For this particular case?	2	Q. Where anybody out in the public
3	Q. Prior to this case for other	3	could buy it, in other words, it's not
4	cases?	4	specific to simply one group?
5	MR. KOPEL: You are referring	5	A. I don't believe so.
6	to Bursor & Fisher, correct?	6	Q. Have you designed any product
7	MR. OSTOJIC: Yes.	7	that was ultimately sold to consumers?
8	A. No.	8	A. I assisted in designing a
9	Q. Have you ever worked with	9	product that is being marketed to the
10	counsel next to you before on any other	10	professional pest control industry currently.
11	cases?	11	Q. Is that product a pesticide?
12	A. No.	12	A. No.
13	Q. How were you contacted in this	13	Q. What kind of product is it?
14	case?	14	A. It's a resistance management
15	A. My recollection is I was	15	kit or a detection kit primarily for bed bugs
16	contacted by Yitzchak Kopel.	16	determining whether they have a resistance or
17	Q. Do you recall when the first	17	susceptibility to insecticides.
18	contact was made?	18	Q. Is that product currently on
19	A. It's just a guesstimate from	19	the market?
20	memory, but about a year and change ago.	20	A. Yes, it is.
21	Q. I gather since 1991 you have	21	Q. Who is it being sold to?
22	been hired by companies that make	22	A. The primary target is the
23	insecticides, correct?	23	professional pest control industry.
24	A. Correct.	24	Q. What's the name of the product?
25	Q. You have also been hired by	25	A. Well, I think it's Black Out
	21		23
	<u></u>		
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
1 2		1 2	
	POTTER		POTTER
2	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct?	2	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide
2 3	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct.	2	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think
2 3 4	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you	2 3 4	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it.
2 3 4 5	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work?	2 3 4 5	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of
2 3 4 5 6	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product?
2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third.	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.? A. Can you clarify when you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever personally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.? A. Can you clarify when you say product; are you talking about an insecticide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever personally designed a product that was ultimately sold	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.? A. Can you clarify when you say product; are you talking about an insecticide or just a product in general?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever personally designed a product that was ultimately sold to the general public?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.? A. Can you clarify when you say product; are you talking about an insecticide or just a product in general? Q. Just a product in general?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER companies that make pesticides, correct? A. Correct. Q. How much of your income do you estimate comes from your consulting work? MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls for speculation. A. It would be a guesstimate, but it varies year to year, but perhaps a third. Q. What is your salary at the University of Kentucky, annual salary? A. It's approximately 122, 125,000. Q. Do you then receive a 1099 from any companies that you do consulting work for? A. Yes. Q. Have you maintained those 1099 records? A. Yes. Q. Have you ever personally designed a product that was ultimately sold	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER Resistance Detection Kit or Insecticide Testing Kit. I'm sorry, Lab In A Bag I think is the branded name that they gave it. Q. Are you the sole designer of that product? A. No. Q. Have you ever personally manufactured a product that was sold to the public? A. No. Q. Have you ever been involved in marketing a product that was sold to the public? A. Not to my recollection. Q. Do you know if there is any written standards in the U.S. that have to be followed before a product is sold in the U.S.? A. Can you clarify when you say product; are you talking about an insecticide or just a product in general?

		1	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	written standards in the U.S. where a	2	devices for monitoring and detection of
3	non-lethal product has to abide by before	3	insect populations.
4	it's sold to the general public?	4	Q. Is that bed bugs that you are
5	A. Can you restate the	5	referring to?
6	question?	6	A. That's the one that comes to
7	Q. Do you know of any written	7	mind, yes.
8	standards in the U.S. that have to be	8	Q. I'll ask this, but, well, is it
9	followed before a non-lethal product is sold	9	correct that you haven't dealt with any
10	to the general public?	10	products to be sold to the general public
11	A. That's not my area of	11	other than in some degree with respect to
12	expertise. I have some knowledge of devices	12	either insecticides or the detection of bed
13	and so forth in terms of EPA requiring	13	bugs; is that fair?
14	efficacy data and statements, but it's really	14	A. Can you repeat the question.
15	not my area of expertise.	15	Q. Sure. I gather that your
16	Q. Is it fair to say that the EPA	16	involvement to whatever degree it is with
17	governs the sale of lethal products such as	17	respect to any products that are ultimately
18	insecticides and pesticides?	18	to be sold to the public is limited to either
19	MR. KOPEL: Objection, calls	19	insecticides or the detection of bed bugs,
20	for a legal conclusion.	20	correct?
21	A. They certainly do regulate the	21	A. I'm not sure that's entirely
22	sale and registration of insecticides, but	22	true. Again, I'm asked so many questions
23	they also regulate the sale of devices, in	23	from so many different entities, both basic
24	other words, non-pesticidal materials.	24	manufacturers and even individuals who want
25	Q. Have you ever had to advise any	25	to develop something and it's not as narrow
	25		27
			21
1	DOTTER	1	
1 2	POTTER clients with respect to the specifics of any	1	POTTER
2	clients with respect to the specifics of any	2	POTTER as that.
2 3	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product	2	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few
2 3 4	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.?	2 3 4	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a
2 3 4 5	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question.	2 3 4 5	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get
2 3 4 5 6	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the
2 3 4 5 6 7	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes. Q. What product?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides? A. No. Q. What other products have you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes. Q. What product? A. I had quite a bit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides? A. No. Q. What other products have you given clients advice to in selling those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes. Q. What product? A. I had quite a bit of involvement with heat treatments for bed bug
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides? A. No. Q. What other products have you given clients advice to in selling those products in the U.S. other than insecticides?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes. Q. What product? A. I had quite a bit of involvement with heat treatments for bed bug control.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clients with respect to the specifics of any industry practice to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. Can you restate the question. Q. Have you ever been retained to advise a client with respect to any industry practices within the U.S. in order to sell a consumer product in the U.S.? A. If I'm interpreting your question correctly, I have consulted and provided advice to basic manufacturers of insecticides about the markets that they work in and the effectiveness of various products and what's necessary to develop a product for those sorts of uses. Q. Is it fair to say that the advice you have given to clients as an expert with respect to any products is limited to insecticides? A. No. Q. What other products have you given clients advice to in selling those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as that. I mean as recently as a few months ago I was asked about developing a device to capture carpenter bees so I get asked by consumers, I get asked by the professional pest control industry, by manufacturers and it involves both pesticidal and non-pesticidal methods of control. Q. What non-pesticidal methods of control are you referring to? A. Traps, monitoring devices, vacuums, heat treatments, heaters so for the physical killing or removal of insects. Q. Can you identify any products that are currently being sold in the U.S. that you have either designed, manufactured, marketed or worked on to aid in the sale of that product? A. I believe so, yes. Q. What product? A. I had quite a bit of involvement with heat treatments for bed bug

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	heat treatment?	2	those industry practices are located in any
3	A. One was a the commercial	3	written form?
4	brand of the product or the concept?	4	 Those practices are mandated by
5	Q. Is it a product that you are	5	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency so
6	referring to that's being sold?	6	there's probably a great deal of data
7	 A. It's a system of treating with 	7	requirements that can be found in terms of
8	heaters to eradicate bed bugs.	8	what the agrochemical company is required to
9	Q. Your work with respect to a	9	do to register their products.
LO	consumer product?	10	Q. I take it when you talk about
1	 When you say consumer product, 	11	the EPA you are talking about it regulates
L2	sold to the professional pest control	12	insecticides and pesticides, correct?
L3	industry or to a house holder?	13	 A. And they also regulate devices
L4	Q. Either way, I'll accept either	14	intended to kill, repel or control pests.
L5	one?	15	Q. Is it your understanding that
L6	 A. To the professional pest 	16	the EPA governs, for instance, ultrasonic
L7	control industry I have had input on heat	17	pest repellers?
L8	treatment technology, I had input on vacuum	18	 I believe that's correct, yes.
L9	cleaners for removal of bed bugs. I probably	19	Q. What's the basis for that
20	had others, but I would have to think	20	conclusion?
21	carefully because I've worked in a lot of	21	 It's my understanding that the
22	different pests over the years.	22	EPA regulates all products whether it's a
23	Q. Are you advising clients on	23	chemical or whether it's a physical device
24	concepts of how to rid either homes or	24	that controls, repels, captures insects. I
25	commercial settings of pests or are you	25	think it would be in the federal register,
	29		31
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	working on the actual product that's being	2	but they have a specific area where they talk
3	sold?	3	about the requirements for regulation of
4	A. Primarily the former, but a bit	4	ultrasonic devices as well as other types of
5	of the latter.	5	devices so, in other words, they don't just
6	Q. Are you familiar then with any	6	regulate pesticides.
7	industry practices in the U.S. for a	7	Q. You believe the EPA also
8	manufacturer before a product is sold in the	8	regulates non-lethal products?
9	U.S.?	9	A. Correct, that's my belief. I'm
10	A. Restate the question.	10	not an expert in this area, but that's my
11	Q. Sure. Are you aware of any	11	understanding.
12	industry practices in the U.S. that a	12	Q. In your report Exhibit number 1
13	manufacturer has to follow before a product	13	you listed one deposition that you gave in
14	is sold in the U.S.?	14	the last four years, correct?
15	MR. KOPEL: Objection, vague.	15	A. Correct.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. You indicate I think earlier
17	Q. What are those industry	17	that you have given approximately a dozen
18	practices?	18	depositions, right?
19	A. They would basically relate to	19	A. Something like that, yes.
20	the agrochemical industry and development of	20	Q. Is it fair to say because you
21	insecticides and registering those products,	21	didn't include those other 11 or so
22	toxicology studies, environmental studies,	22	depositions you are not necessarily relying
23	stability of formulations. This goes back to	23	upon anything you did in those other 11
24	my chemical industry days.	24	depositions for this case here?
25	Q. Could you point to me where	25	A. Correct, but those were also I
	30		32

			0
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	think more than five years ago, that's why	2	typically with a conference. These are
3	they were because I'm not relying on this	3	submitted proceedings like a paper, often a
4	one that I mentioned either for this case,	4	research paper that is reviewed by those that
5	but I stated it because I was in the past	5	assemble the proceedings of the conference
6	five years.	6	and publish it in some bound form. Today a
7	Q. Do you have a list though of	7	lot of it is online, but it goes down as
8	the depositions you have given in your	8	proceedings of a conference.
9	career?	9	For example, I just did one for
10	A. Not a list, no. I would have	10	the Intellectual Conference of Urban Pests
11	to go back to files and hunt through some of	11	that was in Birmingham, England last year.
12	which I save and many of which I don't.	12	Q. I did review some of your
13	Q. Have you retained any of the	13	publications and proceedings and it appears
14	transcripts that you have given in the past?	14	that since 2000 most of the work you have
15	A. I may have. I'm not sure. I	15	CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR CONTRACT
		71080	done relates to bed bugs; is that fair?
16	tend to purge files, but occasionally I'll	16	A. Certainly in terms of
17	save something.	17	publication, that's been the squeaky wheel so
18	Q. I'm going over still your CV	18	I would say that's correct, but I have
19	which is appendix 1 of Exhibit 1 where it	19	published in some other areas. I just
20	shows publications, book chapters on page 4,	20	published an article on Brown Marmorated
21	do you see that?	21	Stink Bug. I have to look through this, but
22	A. Yes.	22	I think it's a fair statement that certainly
23	Q. These publications, they all	23	in the last 10 or 12 years most of my
24	deal with bed bugs or termites, correct?	24	research publications have involved bed bugs.
25	A. No, that's not correct.	25	Q. Is it also fair to say that in
0.	33		35
-1	POTTER	4	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	Q. Which one of the publications	2	the last 10 to 12 years most of your
2 3	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal	2	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs?
2 3 4	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs?	2 3 4	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct.
2 3 4 5	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are	2 3 4 5	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last	2 3 4	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record. (Discussion off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What does that mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents? A. Yes. Q. It includes such two patent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What does that mean proceedings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents? A. Yes. Q. It includes such two patent numbers, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What does that mean proceedings? A. Proceedings are published	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents? A. Yes. Q. It includes such two patent numbers, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Which one of the publications that you have for book chapters does not deal with either termites or bed bugs? A. Well, first of all, if we are just talking about book chapters, the last publication biting and stinging pests in the Entomological Society of America Handbook of Turfgrass Insects involved pests such as stinging insects, biting insects, wasps, bees, fire ants, ticks, things like that. The others under book chapters did involve bed bugs and termites. I thought you were referring to the journal articles as well. MR. OSTOJIC: Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) Q. Sir, I'm now looking at the subtitle here on your CV Journal Articles and Proceedings, do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What does that mean proceedings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the last 10 to 12 years most of your consulting work has revolved around bed bugs? A. No, that's not correct. Q. What percentage would you say your consulting work has been devoted to bed bugs in the last 10 to 12 years? A. When I define consulting work, that would encompass expert work. MR. OSTOJIC: Let's go off the record.) A. Expert witness work, speaking at conferences, advising companies on products and methods so how much of it involved bed bugs, was that your question, maybe 60 percent. Q. Then I'm on page 10 of your CV where it has here patents. Are you with me on patents? A. Yes. Q. It includes such two patent numbers, correct?

	Y		
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	of Novartis Corp.?	2	of that?
3	 A. Novartis Corporation, yes. 	3	A. No.
4	Q. What is that patent?	4	Q. The privilege log essentially
5	 A. This was a patent that I was a 	5	included the general gist of it was
6	primary author on when I worked in the	6	communications between you and plaintiffs'
7	agrochemical industry basically developing a	7	counsel concerning this case, okay?
8	certain class of insecticides that had	8	A. Okay.
9	effectiveness against parasites in animals,	9	Q. I take it then everything in
10	fleas, flies, mites, lice. Basically	10	your file other than those documents that
11	parasites that feed on the outside of an	11	have been withheld pursuant to that privilege
12	animal and also parasites that work	12	log have been produced; is that correct?
13	internally.	13	A. Correct.
14	Q. What is the second patent here	14	Q. Is there anything that was a
15	mentioned microfabricated surfaces?	15	part of your file in this case that was
16	A. That was a co-patent with	16	removed other than what was in the privilege
17	professors at University of California Irvine	17	log and not produced?
18	developing fabricated surfaces designed to	18	A. Could you restate the question.
19	entrap bed bugs so biomimicry basically.	19	Q. At any time during your work on
20	Annual Control	20	this case, has there ever been a document
	Q. Was there a product that was actually manufactured based on that patent?		that was removed from your file and not
21		21	produced to at least plaintiffs' counsel?
22	A. Not yet. If I might add	22	Property Control (Control Control Cont
23	because you asked me about my publications	23	A. Not to my knowledge, no.
24	and maybe I didn't clarify, but if you look	24	Q. Appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1
25	at the totality of my publications, I've	25	has essentially a list of I guess they are
	37		39
1	DATTER	1	DOTTER
1	POTTER published work on mosquitons. The published	1	POTTER
2	published work on mosquitoes, I've published	2	either articles, some of them are power point
2	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published	2	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct?
2 3 4	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I	2 3 4	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research
2 3 4 5	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the	2 3 4 5	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles.
2 3 4 5 6	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs	2 3 4 5 6	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix
2 3 4 5 6 7	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests	2 3 4 5 6 7	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes. Q. Have you produced your entire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts or summaries of depositions in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes. Q. Have you produced your entire file on this case to at least counsel for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts or summaries of depositions in this case? A. I received a copy of Ms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes. Q. Have you produced your entire file on this case to at least counsel for the plaintiffs? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts or summaries of depositions in this case? A. I received a copy of Ms. Feuerstein's deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes. Q. Have you produced your entire file on this case to at least counsel for the plaintiffs? A. Yes. Q. We were provided with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts or summaries of depositions in this case? A. I received a copy of Ms. Feuerstein's deposition. Q. It was the actual deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	published work on mosquitoes, I've published work on various outdoor pests, I've published work on ants, but certainly again as you I believe asked as part of your question in the last 10 or 12 years it was primarily bed bugs and I have written extensively on other pests as well, but not in peer reviewed research journals. Q. I want to make sure that I have a complete copy of your file so I do want to go over appendix number 6 of Exhibit 1 which is the list of materials that you considered in this case, okay? A. Okay. Q. Did you receive a Subpoena to produce your file for this case? A. Yes. Q. Have you produced your entire file on this case to at least counsel for the plaintiffs? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	either articles, some of them are power point presentations, correct? A. Yeah, most of them are research articles. Q. Starting on page 5 of appendix number 6, it has the First Amended Complaint for this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Then it has documents which were Bates stamped BHH and you have examined those documents with those Bates stamped numbers, correct? A. Correct. Q. You did not attend any depositions in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you receive any abstracts or summaries of depositions in this case? A. I received a copy of Ms. Feuerstein's deposition transcript from Ms. Feuerstein, correct?

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	received in this case?	2	to speak to either Joanne Hart or Sandra
3	A. The only deposition, yes. I	3	Bueno?
4	read some excerpts from depositions in some	4	MR. KOPEL: Request to whom?
5	of the other documents, I think in some of	5	Q. To anyone?
6	the reports of the experts for the defense,	6	MR. KOPEL: I want to caution
7	but as far as deposition transcripts, that's	7	you communications with counsel are
8	the only one.	8	privilege so you should not reveal the
9	Q. Then you examined a Bates stamp	9	content of any communications with
10	from Ms. Feuerstein's deposition which was	10	counsel. If you can answer that
11	Bates stamped Feuerstein 55 to 100, correct?	11	question without doing so, then fine,
12	A. Correct.	12	but if answering the question would
13	Q. Obviously you reviewed the	13	require you to do so, then I would
14	reports which were attached to your first	14	instruct you not to answer.
15	report Exhibit number 1 the appendices 2 to 5	15	A. Can you repeat the question?
16	and the associated data and videos, correct?	16	Q. Sure. Did you ever seek to
17	A. Can you show me what you are	17	interview either Joanne Hart or Sandra Bueno
18	talking about?	18	as part of your work in this case?
19	Q. The last item?	19	A. No.
20	A. Yes.	20	MR. KOPEL: I just want to use
21	Q. So appendix 6 is a complete	21	the restroom.
22	copy of all or a listing of all the documents	22	MR. OSTOJIC: I'm fine. Let's
23	you reviewed in this case, correct?	23	take a two or five minute break.
24	A. Correct.	24	(Recess taken.)
25	Q. I take it then you didn't read	25	Q. Sir, have you ever had any
	41		43
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
1 2	POTTER the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct?	1 2	POTTER discussions with an Amanda Parke?
2	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct?	2	discussions with an Amanda Parke?
2 3	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are	2 3	discussions with an Amanda Parke? A. No.
2 3 4	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments	2 3 4	discussions with an Amanda Parke? A. No. Q. The file materials we received
2 3 4 5	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the
2 3 4 5 6	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you
2 3 4 5 6 7	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that	2 3 4 5 6 7.	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this.	2 3 4 5 6 7.	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with Joanne Hart? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6? A. No, but I think one or two I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with Joanne Hart?	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6? A. No, but I think one or two I might have gotten through inter-library loan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with Joanne Hart? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6? A. No, but I think one or two I might have gotten through inter-library loan so when you say assistance, no, I gathered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with Joanne Hart? A. No. Q. You have talked at all with Sandra Bueno? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6? A. No, but I think one or two I might have gotten through inter-library loan so when you say assistance, no, I gathered the articles and there were a few that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the deposition of Joanne Hart, correct? A. May I back up, please. You are referring to Exhibit 1, these are attachments to that from my original report. I believe there are some additional reference citations that I included in my rebuttal report that were not in this. Q. I understand that. We'll get to the rebuttal as well. You didn't review Joanne Hart's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Sandra Bueno's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. You didn't review Jeffrey Mishan's deposition, correct? A. Correct. Q. Have you talked at all with Joanne Hart? A. No. Q. You have talked at all with Sandra Bueno?	2 3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. The file materials we received in this case did not include all of the articles, publications, power points that you have listed in appendix 6 of Exhibit 1, do you know why? A. I assume that you are asking for my file and these are all articles that are readily accessible in the public domain so I guess I just didn't assume that they would be deemed file materials of what you were requesting. Q. Did you have any assistance in gathering these articles, publications or power points that you have listed in appendix 6? A. No, but I think one or two I might have gotten through inter-library loan so when you say assistance, no, I gathered the articles and there were a few that I requested that I could not find myself with

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	received as part of your file in this case	2	case to you?
3	were two publications by Huang and	3	 A. Could you repeat the question.
4	Subramanyam, are you aware of that?	4	Q. Do you know if these entities,
5	A. No, I don't know which files	5	i2L, Sierra and Dr. Mankin produced their
6	you were provided by counsel.	6	entire files for this case to you?
7	Q. Are all of the publications and	7	A. I received their reports.
8	articles that you have listed in appendix 6,	8	Whether there were other items that you would
9	are they printed and part of your file?	9	consider their file I'm not sure.
10	A. They are readily available	10	Q. Sir, are you aware of any
11	online. I thoroughly read and reviewed all	11	written standards for the testing of consumer
12	these articles and I guess it's an	12	products?
13	interpretation of what you mean by my file,	13	A. No.
14	but I assumed since these are all widely	14	Q. Are you aware of any written
15	available online, find them, I thoroughly	15	standards for the testing of consumer
16	read every one. There's a lot of details in	16	products that determine their effectiveness?
17	them that are pertinent to this case which I	17	MR. KOPEL: Objection. What do
18	basically tried to summarize in my reports.	18	you mean by written standards?
19	Q. I haven't been able to find any	19	Q. Do you know what written
20	of these articles online.	20	standards means? I'll use your definition.
21	A. You have to know how to search	21	A. No. Could you give me yours
22	I guess. I would have assumed that your two	22	because written standards means a lot of
23	experts would have been able to help you with	23	different things and at least in some of the
24	that.	24	cases I have been involved with in terms of
25	Q. So when you searched and found	25	standards of practice and best practices so
	•	-5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	45		47
	45		47
1	POTTER 45	1	POTTER 47
1 2	``	1 2	
	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	POTIER these articles online, you didn't print them	2	POTTER if you could clarify.
2 3	POTIER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online?	2 3	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written
2 3 4	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I	2 3 4	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of
2 3 4 5	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could	2 3 4 5	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a
2 3 4 5 6	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product?
2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTIER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied on that or not, but I can't recall actually whether that's how that was done and whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design the testing of a product to determine its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied on that or not, but I can't recall actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design the testing of a product to determine its efficacy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied on that or not, but I can't recall actually whether that's how that was done and whether I was copied or not. I thought I remember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design the testing of a product to determine its efficacy? A. Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied on that or not, but I can't recall actually whether that's how that was done and whether I was copied or not. I thought I remember getting e-mails that I was copied on, but I can't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design the testing of a product to determine its efficacy? A. Absolutely. Q. What kind of expertise do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER these articles online, you didn't print them out, you just read them online? A. No, some I printed out, some I read online. For example, I'm sure you could find National Association of Home Builders website that talks about the average size of a room in the United States or a kitchen. A number of these were actually accessible through Google Scholar online and some I think required additional searching, but these are all real research articles by real people. Q. Are you aware that we issued Subpoenas to Dr. Mankin, i2L and Sierra for their file materials? A. I can't recall if I was copied on that or not, but I can't recall actually whether that's how that was done and whether I was copied or not. I thought I remember getting e-mails that I was copied on, but I can't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER if you could clarify. Q. Do you know of any written standards out there in your field of expertise with respect to the testing of a consumer product? MR. KOPEL: Same objection. A. Well, there are certain standards, for example, the EPA requires some laboratories depending on the studies and what it's being used for to use what they call good laboratory practices where they have to retain records and their labs have to be set up in a specific way documenting things carefully so there are standards like that through some government agencies, but I'm not an expert in that area. Q. Do you need expertise to design the testing of a product to determine its efficacy? A. Absolutely.

POTTER for example, I would not be an expert on flies to nic repeller's effect on ould be helpful to have an e biology and habits of
be an expert on flies to nic repeller's effect on ould be helpful to have an
nic repeller's effect on ould be helpful to have an
ould be helpful to have an
o biology and babits of
e brology and habits of
you have to be a biologist
g the effects of these
rganisms.
you examined the
g that was performed on
evices by Intertek,
t.
so examined the documents
ell & Howell devices that
S, correct?
t.
so examined documents
ting of the Bell & Howell
ne by Qmann, correct?
t.
ree of those entities
eir tests concluded that
evices were effective to
evices were effective to 51
51
51 POTTER
51 POTTER d pests, correct?
51 POTTER
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion,
51 POTTER d pests, correct?
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion,
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann?
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but se tests were done by
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but se tests were done by by others.
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but se tests were done by by others. ou had any contact with
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but se tests were done by by others.
POTTER d pests, correct? as their conclusion, you disagree with the get to that, but I just e sure we are on the same o those three entities and you know of any testing 11 & Howell devices before se that were performed by mann? my knowledge, no. There se those devices according osition have been utilized anufacturers as well, but se tests were done by by others. ou had any contact with

POTTER POTTER 1 1 2 Gold at Texas A&M about conducting a study on 2 Α. No. Have you had any contact with 3 3 Q. Since then I get asked by many anyone from Qmann? 4 4 5 different entities whether it's a commercial 5 Α. restaurant or a food plant or a pest control Have you done any research with 6 6 Q. industry or consumers whether these devices respect to the qualifications of Intertek? 7 7 are effective or not so I have done a great Only inferred research based on 8 8 deal of reading about them. the conduct and the manner that these studies 9 9 10 I have had experience observing were conducted would suggest to me that they 10 the ineffectiveness of these device in simple 11 were not conducted by biologists much less 11 entomologists or people with rodent expertise 12 experiments. As one example my brother is 12 also a professor at the University of 13 and in reading Feuerstein's deposition I 13 Kentucky. Every year in one of his classes he think by her admission she knew of none of 14 14 conducts a basic experiment using a these people having any scientific or biology 15 15 16 ultrasonic device where he places one of the background so I'm concluding from reviewing 16 devices on the side of two interconnected the studies and the conduct of the studies 17 17 garbage cans with a tunnel between and and the conclusion of the studies and from 18 18 19 introduces American cockroaches and has the her deposition that the people that were 19 conducting these trials were not biologists, 20 students score which side the cockroaches end 20 not entomologist, not rodentologists, but up on; the side with the repeller or the 21 21 other side that they are supposed to be 22 that said, I don't have any deep knowledge of 22 23 repelled to. who actually works in these companies. 23 Since I also lecture in that 24 I take it your knowledge of 24 25 Intertek, SGS and Qmann is limited to the 25 class occasionally, I am very familiar with 53 POTTER 1 POTTER 1 the results. Essentially every year that 2 reports that those entities produced and Ms. 2 3 that study has been conducted, a 3 Feuerstein's deposition, fair? disproportionate number of cockroaches end up 4 4 A. Fair. on the side with the repeller because it's I take it prior to this case 5 5 Q. you personally never tested any Bell & Howell 6 considered harborage and warmth generating so 6 7 I have a lot of experience in evaluating 7 device, correct? 8 these products. 8 A. Correct. Have you tested any ultrasonic Have I done peer reviewed 9 9 Q. refereed research on these products over the 10 10 repeller device prior to this case? 11 years, no, largely because there is such a Can you -- let me say that in 11 large body of evidence, prior art saying that terms of have I evaluated these devices, have 12 12 13 I read literature on them, when I was at 13 they were effective and it's often difficult 14 to continue to publish work in an area that Orkin, did we consider the use of these 14 devices, yes. There was one in particular 15 others have published before. 15 back when I was at Orkin that was called the 16 I guess the last thing I'll say 16 is that I have had a lot of experience Electrocat. At that time I can't remember if 17 17 evaluating repellents, not ultrasonic type it was purely electromagnetic or a 18 18 19 pest repellers, but repellant insecticides 19 combination of electromagnetic and 20 and a lot of that work has been peer ultrasonic, but the marketing of the product 20 published and a lot of the properties and the was similar, you plug it in and it drives 21 21 ways that these studies are set up and rodents out of your building. We looked 22 22 carefully at that product. This is from 23 conducted are similar to the types of design 23 that you would need for experiment on an memory so I may be a little fuzzy, but we may 24 24

25

25

have been the ones that spoke with Dr. Roger

ultrasonic device.

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	Q. Have you personally done any	2	there was a single page of a summary of his
3	laboratory testing of any ultrasonic	3	test results produced. Have you ever seen
4	repeller?	4	that?
5	A. I have when you say	5	A. There was a short, a few
6	personally done, I would say that setting up	6	paragraphs or one large paragraph summarizing
7	and overseeing the conduct of the experiments	7	some of the results. It was basically
8	we did with the Bell & Howell devices would	8	nothing different than the conversations that
9	fulfill that.	9	I had had with him and really was reflected
10	Q. You're talking about the	10	in all those pages of attachments of the
11	testing that was done for this case?	11	studies.
12	A. Correct.	12	Q. Is there anything with respect
13	O. In this case and I know it's	13	to the findings made by Dr. Mankin that you
14	part of your first report, did you hire Dr.	14	disagree with?
15	Mankin to perform the testing that he did?	15	A. No.
16	A. Dr. Mankin was referred to me	16	Q. I take it you accepted Dr.
17	by Christine Styer, the director of i2L as	17	Mankin's findings?
18	being an expert that could quantify the	18	A. Yes, he's a recognized expert
19	acoustical output of the ultrasonic devices.	19	on both entomology, physics and the impact or
20	we had initially intended to have that work	20	the effects of sound on animals, particularly
20	done with i2L, but they felt that the	21	insects. He's well published and while I
22	expertise that Dr. Mankin had and his	22	carefully read his reports, we discussed back
	•	23	and forth about the results, I would defer to
23	equipment and capabilities would get a better	24	his conclusions as being accurate.
24	answer in terms of a true output of the	25	
25	devices they could accomplish so Dr. Mankin	23	Q. What are Dr. Mankin's 59
	57		
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	was referred to me by Christine Styer.	2	qualifications to do the testing that he did
3	I knew of him before so in	3	on the Bell & Howell device?
4	terms of hiring him, I guess indirectly yes	4	A. Well, as I said, he's
5	because we basically worked through Christine	5	considered an expert on acoustical detection
6	Styer to conduct those tests and I spoke with	6	or sensory reception of insects to sound.
7	Dr. Mankin on several occasions about what we	7	He's published on this subject. It seemed
8	wanted to evaluate and what the results of	8	like a reasonable person to try to quantify
9	his studies were.	9	the output characteristics of these devices.
10	Q. Were you personally present	10	Q. Was there ever a written
11	during any of the testing done by Dr. Mankin	11	protocol with respect to the testing that was
12	in this case?	12	performed by Dr. Mankin?
13	A. No.	13	 A. Not a formal protocol, but we
14	Q. Where is Dr. Mankin located?	14	had asked i2L to try to characterize the
15	A. University of Florida	15	output of the devices and I think some of
16	Gainesville, USDA, but he's somewhat	16	that information was probably conveyed to Dr.
17	affiliated.	17	Mankin. I think it was actually in the
18	Q. Did Dr. Mankin produce a report	18	original proposal or report that I had
19	with respect to the work that he did relating	19	submitted to i2L.
20	to this case?	20	Q. Have you worked in the past
21	A. He produced the results which I	21	with i2L?
22	think I have appended to my report of his	22	A. Not with i2L, i2L had a
23	testing of both the ultrasonic device and the	23	different name, it was previously ICR, same
24	-		•
1 Z++	electromagnetic device.	24	company, just different name and I guess
25	electromagnetic device. Q. In our Subpoena to Dr. Mankin	25	company, just different name and I guess different owners. I was a colleague. I

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	would see him at professional meetings, Dr.	2	findings, i2L's findings and conclusions?
3	Robin Todd who was the director prior to	3	A. Yes.
4	Christene Styer, but in terms of setting up	4	Q. Then I believe a company Sierra
5	specific testing with i2L, no.	5	conducted some testing of the Bell & Howell
6	Q. Where is i2L located?	6	devices with respect to mice, correct?
7	A. Baltimore, Maryland is their	7	A. Correct, Sierra Research Labs
8	U.S. office and then they have I think their	8	is the name of the company.
9	corporate office maybe in England or Cardiff	9	Q. If I say Sierra, will we
10	is that Whales?	10	understand I'm referring to Sierra Research
11	Q. i2L did laboratory testing of	11	Labs?
12	the Bell & Howell devices with respect to	12	A. Yes.
		13	
13	insects and spiders, correct?		Q. Sierra Research Labs provided you with a written report concerning their
14	A. Yes and cockroaches, ants and	14	
15	spiders were the three arthropods that they	15	testing and the results of their testing
16	evaluated.	16	which is marked as appendix 5 of your first
17	Q. Were you personally present	17	report Exhibit 1, correct?
18	during any of the testing done by i2L?	18	A. Correct.
19	A. The last part of the study that	19	Q. Is there anything you disagree
20	was conducted on ants I was present, yes.	20	with that's found in the Sierra report marked
21	We evaluated in sequence German cockroaches	21	as appendix 5 to Exhibit 1?
22	first, cellar spiders second and the third	22	A. No, there's nothing that I
23	organism was odorous house ants.	23	disagree with in this report.
24	Q. Appendix 4 to your first report	24	Q. You accepted Sierra's findings
25	Exhibit 1 is the report from i2L concerning	25	and conclusions?
	61		63
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
1 2	POTTER their testing in this case, correct?	1 2	POTTER A. I do.
2	POTTER their testing in this case, correct? A. Correct.		
2 3	their testing in this case, correct? A. Correct.	2	A. I do.
2 3 4	their testing in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's	2 3	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.)
2 3 4 5	their testing in this case, correct? A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with?	2 3 4	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental
2 3 4 5 6	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission	2 3 4 5	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you disagree with that's included in i2L's report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you disagree with that's included in i2L's report which is marked as appendix 4 to your first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) (Record read.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you disagree with that's included in i2L's report which is marked as appendix 4 to your first report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) (Record read.) A. Many of these materials are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you disagree with that's included in i2L's report which is marked as appendix 4 to your first report? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) (Record read.) A. Many of these materials are familiar to me, the testing protocols for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. Is there anything in I2L's report that you disagree with? A. I noticed there was an omission in one of the appendices and I had meant to bring this up I guess to counsel and even to i2L and I haven't had a chance, but there was some data cells missing in appendix 2 where it was just an omission of transcription because if you go back to the tables basically on the previous page and you can insert those numbers, but I did notice a typographical omission from the Chi-square analysis that was done on cellar spiders and odorous house ants. That data was generated, just simply through a transcription error did not appear in appendix 2 in those charts. Q. Is there anything that you disagree with that's included in i2L's report which is marked as appendix 4 to your first report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A. I do. (Exhibit 3, Documents, marked for Identification.) Q. We were given a supplemental production of documents that were in your file which I've now marked as Exhibit number 3. I would like you to take a look at it and confirm for me if you can if these materials were part of your file? MR. KOPEL: Do you have a copy for me? MR. OSTOJIC: That's one of the things I don't have a copy of. MR. KOPEL: I'm going to try to look on, but if I need a copy — MR. OSTOJIC: If you want to take a break now to make a copy. MR. KOPEL: Let me see what this is. Off the record. (Discussion off the record.) (Record read.) A. Many of these materials are

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	material, some of the raw data from the	2	realistic and simulate the manner in which
3	Sierra Research Lab mouse study I had not	3	these devices would be utilized by a consume
4	seen in this format until a few days ago, I	4	to observe what effect it would have on mice
5	think Friday I received a copy of these	5	Q. Is it fair to say that to
6	e-mailed to me by counsel.	6	determine the effectiveness of the product,
7	In other words, some of this	7	the product should be tested in accordance
8	raw data tabular summaries was not included	8	with its intended use?
9	in the initial expert report that was	9	 I would say that's correct.
10	submitted to me or the final expert report	10	Q. Did you approach your
11	submitted to me by Bill Donohue at Sierra	11	assignment in this case as an independent
12	Research Labs, but I have since seen them	12	scientist without any bias?
13	subsequently.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. In the scientific world when	14	Q. Did you contact defendants'
15	doing a test of a product, do you first have	15	experts in this case to participate in any
16	to establish either a theory or a goal before	16	joint testing of the Bell & Howell device?
17	the testing is performed?	17	A. Can you repeat the question?
18	A. Usually it starts with an	18	Q. Sure. Did you attempt to
19	observation or a question followed by a	19	participate in any joint testing of the
20	hypothesis of what you are considering may be	20	devices?
21	essentially what you are investigating so I	21	A. No.
22	would say yes.	22	Q. Why not?
23	Q. So with respect to Sierra, what	23	 Well, apart from wanting to
24	was the goal or the hypothesis of the	24	look at this in an independent capacity, I
25	testing?	25	have no stake in this game. I'm getting paid
~	65		6
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. The goal was to evaluate the	2	one way or the other and I didn't even
3	ability of the Bell & Howell ultrasonic pest	3	consider it because at least in this
4	repeller to repel and drive pests out in a	4	particular case I thought we had sufficient
5	residential setting to try to evaluate the	5	expertise to evaluate these devices based on
6	veracity of the claims of the manufacturer's	6	our expertise and the prior literature on the
7	device.	7	performance of these products and how those
8	Q. Was the goal that you gave	8	tests were conducted as well so I didn't see
9	Sierra with respect to their testing was the	9	the need.
10	goal to disprove the defendants' claim that	10	Q. Would it have been
11	the devices repel pests?	11	inappropriate in this case as an independent
12	A. No. The goal was to try to set	12	scientist to set the goal for the testing to
13	up as realistic an experiment as possible to	13	disprove the defendants' claim that the
14	evaluate the device and report the results.	14	devices repel pests?
15	We spent a tremendous amount of time	15	A. Can you repeat the question?
16	developing this protocol. Three Ph.D.	16	Q. Sure. Would it be inappropriate
17	scientists arguably the top rodentologist in	17	to set the hypothesis for the testing of this
18	the world, myself who spent a career	18	Bell & Howell device as to whether you can
19	evaluating pest control products and Dr. Bill	19	disprove the defendants' claim that the
20	Donohue, Ph.D. entomologist trained at Texas	20	devices repel pests?
21	A&M who has probably 30 plus years experience	21	A. One more time.
22	evaluating these devices and other type,	22	(Record read.)
23	well, all manner of pest control materials so	23	A. There is a semantic associated
24	we did the very best we could to set the	24	with the word disprove. Certainly when you
25	experiment up in a way that would be	25	conduct any experiment, you perform a
ı	arga. Merce up it a may chee mound be		and any area mane, you per torm a
	66		6

1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	hypothesis. Your hypothesis is that typically	2	A. I got one here from August 17.
3	there is no effect for evaluating a device or	3	I got another one from August 17.
4	insecticide and the alternate hypothesis	4	Q. Can I see if it's the same one
5	being is there an effect and then you design	5	I'm referring to?
6	your experiments accordingly to see what the	6	A. Look at those.
7	outcome is. I think it's inappropriate to	7	Q. Yeah, it's that one. This is
8	assume that you never have a thought or an	8	an e-mail August 17 that you sent to Robert
9	opinion going into the experiment.	9	Corrigan and cc.'d a Bill from Sierra
10	For example, in this case there	10	Research Labs, correct?
11	was a lot of literature, a lot of past	11	A. Correct.
12	experience saying there was not an effect,	12	Q. It says whatever is decided
13	but we wanted to obviously test the Bell &	13	upon needs to comply with the usage
14	Howell device which defense argues is	14	instructions of the manufacturer and then in
15	different than devices in the past to see how	15	parenthesis you put Bell & Howell, right?
16	it would perform so we went into this very	16	A. Correct.
17	open minded and I think it's reflected in the	17	Q. That means you are telling them
18	time and the attention to trying to set up a	18	that the testing has to be done in accordance
19	study that was realistic, but in no way did I	19	with the instructions of this device provided
20	go in I mean I consider the word bias	20	by Bell & Howell, fair?
21	which I think you used earlier as being	21	 A. Correct and specifically in
22	really a prejudice against a person or a	22	this case we were talking I believe the
23	thing or a belief that's unfair, unwarranted.	23	conversation involved dimensions of the space
24	I think that, you know, it's	24	because if you go to the previous e-mail on
25	reasonable as an expert in this case to	25	the string, at this time we were considering
	69		71
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	seriously question the effectiveness of the	2	utilizing garages attached to a structure and
3	class, the category of ultrasonic devices	3	I wanted to be sure that we set up the study,
4	based on all 50 years of prior literature.	4	it was done consistent with the manner in
5	That said, because again it was alleged that	5	which the manufacturer recommended using
6	there were differences in these devices	6	their products.
7	compared to some of the ones that were used	7	Q. Would it be inappropriate in
8	in those other studies, we wanted to set it	8	the scientific world to test a product
9	up as an unbiased experiment so I would say	9	contrary to its intended use?
10	absolutely not, we did not go into this thing	10	A. Can you repeat the question?
11	trying to prove one way or the other.	11	Q. Sure. In the scientific world
12	Q. I mean obviously if you are	12	would it be inappropriate to test a product
13	going to go into the testing of a product to	13	contrary to its usage instructions?
14	determine its effectiveness, it would be	14	A. I would say for the most part

- determine its effectiveness, it would be wrong to set the hypothesis as trying to disprove the effectiveness of the product; is 16 that fair?
 - Yeah, that's fair. Α.
- In the Exhibit number 3 there Q. was an e-mail from you dated August 17, 2017 to Robert Corrigan and Bill at Sierra Research Laboratories.
- Α. It's going to take me a while. What was the date?
 - August 17, 2017. Q.

- I would say for the most part yes, but having had personal experience with testing a product contrary to a manufacturer's instructions sometimes can reveal very interesting outcomes.
- But if you are testing for the effectiveness of a product, you should test that product pursuant to the manufacturer's usage instructions, fair?
- Yeah, if the manufacturer is paying for the work and specifying it and saying how it should be done. I keep putting



17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

70

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

POTTER

the caveat on this because we changed the way termite control is done around the world by striking out in a different direction from manufacturer's instructions, but admitted generally you want to evaluate a product in the manner that it's intended to be used if it's specified commercial product by the manufacturer so I'll grant you that.

- Q. For instance, let's say if you are testing the effectiveness of a pesticide and you use that pesticide in a swimming pool, it's really not going to be too effective; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. I'm coming at it as a lay person.
- A. If there are label instructions specifying the manner in which it should be used, you should attempt to evaluate the product in that fashion.
- Q. Going back to Exhibit 3, your e-mail of August 17, 2017, I know the first sentence you actually tell Robert Corrigan and Bill from Sierra Research Laboratories

POTTER

testing would disprove the claim that the device's repellant drives pests out?

A. No, that's incorrect. First of all, whenever you set up an experiment as I said you have a hypothesis. When you set up these hypothesis, it's common to have, in other words, the words hope and expected, you have an expectation because you have a theory based on an observation of what may be occurring.

In this case the theory was that this device would behave differently than prior devices in the literature in terms of repelling mice out of the structure so in no way — we tried to set up a study that was realistic and you could say in this case that the known hypothetical was that these devices don't work based on the past literature and the alternative hypothesis would be that they in fact do work or maybe you could flip it around because you could do that. It's typically not done this way, but if the known hypothetical was the claims, the veracity of the claims was that these devices were

POTTER

that whatever is decided upon and I assume you mean by the future testing of this Bell & Howell device, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You're telling them whatever testing is decided upon it would need to comply with the usage instructions of the manufacturer Bell & Howell, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Then you cited -- I guess you gave them two links to a Bell & Howell website where they would find usage instructions; is that fair?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Then you write to them, I'm looking at that last sentence, you write the thing we need to ultimately (hopefully) disprove is the claim that the devices repel and drive pests out of the home. Did I read that sentence correctly?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Was that the goal you provided to Robert Corrigan and Bill of Sierra that ultimately you were hopeful that their

POTTER

effective, the alternative hypothesis would be that they are not effective.

When I phrase this e-mail, there is no intent in stacking the deck in any fashion whatsoever. I mean to the contrary, if you read through the series of e-mail correspondences between myself, Bobby Corrigan and Bill Donohue, it was try to get a study that would be reflective of the real world testing these things in residential settings.

- Q. Is it correct to state though if Robert Corrigan and Sierra Research took your August 17, 2017 e-mail to mean that the goal in this case was to disprove the claim that the device's repellant drive pests out of the home, that would be inappropriate?
- A. That would be inappropriate and knowing these two gentlemen if you knew them as I know them, they have a lot more at stake with their professional reputations as do I in trying to conduct an experiment with an inherent bias at the outset.
 - Q. Sir, why is it necessary in



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

77

1 POTTER 2 testing the efficacy of the Bell & Howell 3 repellers that the testing labs should follow 4 the product's use instructions? Well, if you are trying to 5 evaluate the effects of those products as 6 7 they would be sold to the consumer, it would make sense to set that test up in a fashion 8 9 that would be realistic of not just how a consumer would use them, but basically do 10 11 they perform according to the claims of the 12 manufacturer which in this case are to repel 13 pests and drive them out and presumably out of the building because I don't know where 14 15 you drive pests within a structure out of if you don't drive them out of the building. 16 It's not of any value if you 17 18 drive a mouse from its nest behind the 19 compressor of the refrigerator to the void 20 space between the kitchen and the room above. 21 If you want to turn to the 22 beginning of Exhibit number 3, I want to go over these documents in some orderly fashion, 23

okay? Α. Okay.

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

POTTER

by Bobby. There may have been some that were not. I would say the majority of these words were written by Bobby.

- Who else do you believe would have written the words in the first four pages of Exhibit 3 other than Bobby Corrigan?
- Myself or Bill Donohue because we were interacting back and forth, but again, the bulk of this looks like it was the first go around with Bobby in coming up with what would be a reasonable protocol.

We started with a slightly different iteration of this in keeping rodents out, but this is certainly -- the bulk of this is definitely Bobby Corrigan's words.

- If you go into Exhibit 3 the next couple of pages and I'm looking at two pages that have on the top research notes and has Sierra Research Laboratories logo on the left side?
 - Okay. Α.
- This is a handwritten document Q. from someone at Sierra Research Laboratories,

POTTER

POTTER

The first four pages of Exhibit number 3 is essentially the protocol for the testing of the Bell & Howell devices with respect to mice, correct?

Α. This was a preliminary protocol, a draft for discussion where we are trying to come up with a residential setting where we could evaluate these devices. Elements of it are the same as in the final protocol, but there are some elements that are quite different.

The first four pages of Exhibit Q. 3, they were authored by Bobby Corrigan, correct?

I would have to look at the date on this because there was a lot of dialogue back and forth. In other words, Bobby had in his mind a protocol for evaluating an ultrasonic device and then we had quite a bit of discussion back and forth in terms of would that reveal or demonstrate the efficacy of this device based on claims and based on intents of how it's used so I can't say whether all these words are written 25

correct?

Correct. Mike is I believe the first name there and I believe he's the brother of Bill Donohue who's one of his experimentalists.

- If you go -- I'm looking at a diagram that I assume was provided by Sierra Labs to you concerning the apartments where they were doing some testing of the Bell & Howell devices with respect to mice?
 - Correct. Α.
- Q. In doing the test that Sierra did, they did not follow Bell & Howell's usage instructions, correct?
- If you could give me specific instructions that you're saying it doesn't -well, first of all, we had to modify the experiment because no one was going to allow you to test against an established rodent infestation or certainly it would be difficult to get three units that we tested the device and three units that we didn't have at all quantifiable similar quantities of rodents so we had to obviously do things a



POTTER bit different here. People will not allow you to wall off your house to try to keep the mice in the evaluation spaces, but in terms of setting up the experiment to be consistent with what we consider to be the most relevant instructions, numbers of devices per unit area was consistent. Obviously there's some things here that you might say are not consistent like the presence of food and water, but in fact that is consistent with the real world. What's not the real world is inferring that a consumer can pick up all the potential food scraps and debris that mice feed on. These things can get a scrap of bread and bring it behind the refrigerator and live on it very nicely for a long period of time so what we try to do in design this

experiment was simulate real world

what does a mouse need? It needs a warm place. That's why we typically find them around compressors and heaters and

POTTER

- Q. Just so I understand in the Sierra testing, food and water was specifically provided to the mice, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Wouldn't the test that was done then be contrary to the Bell & Howell usage instructions?

A. First of all, every published study in the prior art on the effects of ultrasonic devices on rodents provided food. The China study, all the studies that Bell & Howell conducted provided food. It would be a very unrealistic situation to put rodents into a box, a plexiglass box, it's unrealistic as it is, but to deny them sustenance alters the behaviors — these are very successful animals.

A house mouse is probably the most successful mammal probably second to humans based on its prevalence. They are more common in residential settings than cats and dogs so there is a reason why they've established these infestations in these dwellings in the presence of food.

POTTER

stoves and dishwashers. It wants harborage. It wants food in the vicinity because mice prefer not to forage long distances and moisture so we tried to simulate a typical residential setting in the presence of all of those comfortable things that a mouse or a nest of mice needs and would have if it was established in a dwelling before you introduce these devices to try to drive them away so generally we tried to design this protocol to be consistent with the way the product is used in the environment that the product is used.

- Q. The Bell & Howell devices state that you have to make certain that all food is put away?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the Bell & Howell instructions you agree with me state that the efficiency of the device decreases if there is food and water available to the pest, correct?
- A. They say it on their label. It's not realistic of the real world.

POTTER

If you've ever pulled back your refrigerator, you will know that there's plenty of food for a mouse or it goes into a wall void or it goes down into the basement and then back up feeding on the bird seed so we are trying to create a real world environment to evaluate, not something that was artificial.

Q. I just want to know is the placement of food and water for mice in the sierra study, is that contrary to the Bell & Howell usage instructions, yes or no?

MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.

- A. The Bell & Howell user instructions are unrealistic and frankly have no influence in my professional opinion on the performance of these products.
- Q. So you in either designing or assisting to design these tests did not take into account the Bell & Howell usage instructions, fair?
 - A. Not fair.
 - Q. Let me go back. Is the



3

conditions.

McCorkle Litigation Services, Inc. Chicago, Illinois (312) 263-0052

POTTER

placement of food and water in that Sierra testing contrary to the usage instructions on the Bell & Howell device?

MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.

I've answered it to the best of my ability. I've said that every study that's been done in the past peer reviewed incorporated the presence of these essential resources. We tried to duplicate those kinds of results. These are strong claims of this product and we wanted to evaluate them in as close as we could to the real world what a consumer would face. They could say remove all food and water and it's a prudent practice in pest management to clean up spills and excess stuff, but whether it's house mouse, cockroach, ant, there is plenty of food -- you cannot sanitize a residential dwelling or a commercial kitchen to the point where there's none of these resources for these pests to sustain themselves so we set up the test with those thoughts.

Q. Doctor, at the beginning of the

POTTER

- Q. In the real world do you know of any person that places food and water in their residence to attract the mice?
- A. No, but I have been in hundreds upon hundreds of residential and commercial buildings where the sanitation was so abysmal that it was a feast for pests so it's not whether we placed it intentionally or whether these food resources were present, these food resources are present for these animals in residential settings or they wouldn't be there.
- Q. The Bell & Howell device states that you should make certain that all food is put away, correct?
- A. That's what they say on their label.
- Q. The testing that was done by Sierra by placing food and water for the mice was contrary to the Bell & Howell usage instructions, correct? Is it yes or no?
- A. I would say that it's contrary to their instructions, but their instructions are unrealistic of the real world.

POTTER

deposition I thought you agreed when I said if I ask you a question you will directly answer my question and if you need to elaborate, counsel could ask you questions later, but I really want to get an answer and then we can move on.

A. The devil is in the details in these questions so on the label it says don't put them behind a couch or a large object. We did not do that. The label says use roughly one device per average size room. We in fact used two. If you look at the dimensions of the two bedroom and one bedroom apartments, we probably could have gotten by with one unit so we tried to accommodate the usage instructions, but there were other factors that we could not ignore to try to come up with a real test.

We had one shot at this to try to design something that would reflective of the real world and how these products are used by consumers. If we had five years to work on this, we might have teased out these various effects.

POTTER

- Q. I get it and I understand you don't agree with the instructions, but I just want the answer and then we could say good-bye to each other in a shorter span of time.
 - A. Sorry.
- Q. The Bell & Howell device instructions states that the ultrasonic waves cannot penetrate walls and floors and other barriers, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In the Sierra testing there were bait stations that were utilized, correct?
- A. Correct. Harborages, an empty bait station with a nest and cardboard box over it.
- Q. The bait station was manufactured by who?
- A. I can't recall if it was a protector. It was a plastic commercial station that pest control companies use to either install bait or other types of traps and devices within. I would have to go back



1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	to the methods to see the brand because	2	Q. I'm looking at the diagram I
3	there's a bunch of different makers of these	3	believe of the one bedroom apartment, do you
4	things.	4	have that in front of you?
5	Q. In the Sierra testing the bait	5	A. Yes.
6	stations included bedding for the mice,	6	Q. And this is a document that
7	correct?	7	someone at Sierra put together, right?
8	A. Correct.	8	A. Correct,
9	Q. And also the bait stations were	9	Q. I take it the gist of this
10	then covered by cardboard boxes, correct?	10	testing was to put these mice in a room, have
11	A. Correct.	11	them acclimated for a week or so with food
12	Q. Providing bait stations and	12	and water and harborage, right?
13	cardboard boxes as harborage for the mice,	13	 A. Correct just as a natural
14	that's contrary to the Bell & Howell usage	14	infestation would be prior to purchasing and
15	instructions, fair?	15	using these devices.
16	A. The question requires	16	Q. And then there was a back room
17	clarification because they neither instruct	17	door was open for an inch to see if those
18	or not. We tried to simulate harborage that	18	mice would then leave the front room and go
19	would be present in a typical dwelling in an	19	into this back room bedroom, correct?
20	unoccupied apartment. It's a very unnatural	20	A. Correct.
21	thing to confine mice in a chamber or an	21	Q. There was no food and water
22	arena with no place to be. That's probably	22	provided in the back room, right?
23	the number one thing that they require	23	A. Correct.
24	because these are nervous, skitterish, shying	24	Q. Why not?
25	creatures so our cardboard box was intended	25	 A. We as I said previously tried
	89		91
		_	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	or our bait station nest in a cardboard box	2	to devise this experiment like the real
3	was intended to simulate clutter or a sofa or	3	world. In a real situation where a consumer has a mouse, an existing mouse problem, they
4	the void space under a kitchen sink.	4	•
5	All of these void spaces are	5	purchase this device, they put it into various rooms, in this case let's say the
6	present within a dwelling and we just tried	6	front room of the house or this is a small
7	to provide a simulation of those where we	7	apartment so they had a kitchen connection,
8	could still quantify the effects of the	8	those mice are comfortable. They have food,
9	device.	9	warmth, harborage, moisture and we wanted to
10	Q. The Bell & Howell instructions	10 11	simulate that.
11	that you read do not mention bait stations,	12	We patterned this after other
12	correct?	13	repellency work that was done by a very
13	A. Correct.	14	famous urban entomologist Dr. Walter Ebeling
14	Q. The Bell & Howell instructions	15	often considered the father of urban
15	that you reviewed in this case do not mention	16	entomology who designed a device called the
16	providing harborages to pests, correct?	17	Ebeling Choice Box studies where he was
17	A. Correct.	18	looking at the repellency of an insecticide
18	Q. So Sierra in conducting its	19	and what he did is he had a split box with a
19	testing, they had two sets of apartments,	20	divider down the middle with a hole in the
20	correct?	21	top and half of that box was treated with the
21	A. Correct.	22	insecticide and half was not and then he
22 23	Q. One was some were one bedroom apartments, others were two bedroom	23	darkened the side of the box where the
24	apartments, correct?	24	insecticide was applied that you are testing
25	A. Correct.	25	the repellency of that product because a
140	A. WITCE		and repairing or aims pressure sections of

POTTER

cockroach wants to be in the dark so it was a way to pressure the repellency of the insecticide to see if it truly was repellant because if it was, the cockroach would end up on the light side so we somewhat adapted this from that concept that if this device will be effective, we want to give the mice the conditions they want.

That's why we acclimated them for a period of a week in this portion of the apartment because if they were present in the dwelling, they would be acclimated. Mice are constantly dropping fecal pellets, they are urinating all over the place, they have pheromones, they have all their essential resources and then we are going to come in with this device and see if it could drive them away so that was the main reason we did it.

As a practical matter, if your question is heading towards well, could it have biased the results of this study, clearly it didn't because literally within one day of setting this up with no food in

POTTER

test was biased because it did not have food and water in the back room where there was no repeller as it did in the room where there was a repeller, fair?

- A. No, bias, no, the test was not biased. You set up experiments to demonstrate different things. If you look at all of the rodent literature or the insect literature, much of which I suspect you may have not read, you will realize that those studies were conducted in a number of different ways to try to tease out the effects of these devices. That's what we were trying to do here.
- Q. Do you believe that the Sierra testing was biased because the room where the repeller was was approximately three times the size of the room without the repeller?
- A. No, I don't. If anything mice like tight secure spaces and clearly it was not biased because in the untreated controls there were just as many mice in the back room as the front room.
 - Q. So the repeller in this case

POTTER

the back, roughly three-quarters of the mice initially with the device had moved to the back room and in the untreated controls they were pretty much evenly distributed throughout the entire experiment even though there was no food initially placed in the back.

Mice are constantly exploring their territories especially initially and they are constantly moving back to and from food so as a practical matter it didn't really make a lot of difference whether the food was on one side or the other.

- Q. Did you ever tell Sierra to put food in the back room where there was no repeller to make the testing more fair?
- A. We talked about it and I think we came to the conclusion that this would be a more realistic test to try to demonstrate the claims of the product, the ability to drive the pests out of an area where they were currently residing with all the resources they need.
 - Q. So you don't believe that the

POTTER

that Sierra conducted the testing it showed that the repeller was effective in repelling mice, correct?

- A. In the first week, roughly the first five to seven days, there did appear to be a movement of mice from the front room to the back room, a disproportionate movement so there was an statistical effect of the device for the first roughly five, six, seven days, but by the second week with the repeller installed apartments, the distribution of the mice had evened out which is consistent with studies that have been done in the past in terms of the habituation of rodents to these ultrasonic devices.
- Q. Did you analyze the actual data that was provided by Sierra with respect to the counting of mice?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So I take it then for the first week at least it's your opinion that the Bell & Howell devices were effective in repelling the mice based on the Sierra test, correct?
 - A. They certainly caused mice to

McCorkle Litigation Services, Inc. Chicago, Illinois (312) 263-0052

POTTER move. I guess the term effective is semantics. In the larger scheme of things the device was not effective because it's no value to move mice around for five or seven days, but certainly there was a significant effect of the device in the first week of the experiment.

Q. Is there any time line that's set forth in any of the Bell & Howell instructions as far as how long it would take to repel pests?

A. My recollection was that they were very nebulous on that and open ended that it could depend on in some cases it would take longer than others. Wearing my science hat for a minute, I could see how that could be the case depending on the degree of clutter and complexity and where the rodents were and the level of festation, how well established they were, but in this case where we had essentially a vacant apartment with two simple harborages in the front and the back, two weeks to me seemed more than sufficient to determine whether

POTTER

but not the back, again, trying to simulate real world conditions.

- Q. So they were not identical because you provided nesting materials, wood shavings in the bait stations in the front room, but not the back room, correct?
- $\mbox{A.} \qquad \mbox{Repeat the question one more } \label{eq:alpha}$ time.
- Q. So the bait stations in the front and back room were not identical because you provided wood shavings to the bait stations in the front room and not the back room, fair?
- A. That is correct, but again, as a practical matter, they clearly were very comfortable utilizing the back boxes because it was a uniform distribution in the untreated controls so all the untreated controls and all the treated apartments had while granted a slightly different make-up, the distribution of the mice would show that the mice were the important thing is the harborage, okay. It's not the location of the food because these mice are moving back

POTTER

there was a long lasting effect of this device.

Q. Do you believe the Sierra testing was biased because it allowed only the mice to acclimate in the front room where the repeller was for a week?

A. Not in the sense that we again tried to set up this experiment to reflect the real world where you would be purchasing this device, installing it in your home where there was an existing mouse infestation.

Q. Were the harborages identical in the front room as in the back room with respect to the Sierra testing?

A. They were very similar. We had the same unused brand new rodent plastic rodent bate stations, we nested them with a cardboard box. The reason we did that was to provide them a further protective location because mice are always going to try to find the place that's the safest and quietest and where they feel most secure. My recollection is that we added some wood shavings as a nesting material to the boxes in the front,

POTTER

and forth and I think that's what lacking untreated controls some of these questions might be raised which is one of the problems with all the studies that were done in China.

MR. KOPEL: Were you done with your answer?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. How many mice would have gone into the front room if there was food and water placed in the back room, do you know?
- A. You're saying we would have the devices positioned in the same location and put the food and water in the back room, but only switch the rooms around with just harborage in the front room, probably the results would be I would suspect they would be very similar because these mice were clearly moving back and forth.
- Q. How do you know if you didn't do it? How would you know as a scientist if you didn't do that test?
- A. Well, when you look at all the data of the study and you look at the fact that we had untreated controls and you look



POTTER at the fact that we replicated the study and you look at the locations of the mice and you look at the web camera, the photographs of locations of the mice, these mice were running back and forth all over this apartment and would the results have come out exactly the same, you would have to test it, but substantively I think we would have gotten a very similar result.

- Q. But unless we test something, as a scientist you would agree that unless you test something, you really don't know, fair?
- A. The nature of science and the nature of manufacturing has been pointed out by your experts as based on trying to come up with the best answer you can with the data you have and hopefully you generate enough data to come to a meaningful conclusion. We have not gotten to the China studies yet, perhaps we never will, but if we want to critique methodologies in terms of what's completely appropriate, in other words, if these label instructions of the manufacturer

POTTER

testing?

- A. I'll have to go to the methodology. The cardboard boxes were placed four feet from the walls. I can do that if you want, I can go to the methods. Would you like me to do that?
 - Q. No, I don't want you to.
- A. But you could see from the diagram, you could see from the photographs, I think there's a couple of photographs in the report that show the position of the food dishes and the boxes in relation to the repellers and we made a very conscious effort not to put those harborages in front of the repellers trying to comply with the instructions.
- Q. I take it there was no testing done to actually determine the impact that the cardboard boxes would have to act as a barrier with respect to the Bell & Howell ultrasonic waves, fair?

MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.

A. In the context with all the

POTTER

were based on the China studies, I'm not sure how you could base those — I don't know what they base those recommendations on those instructions because it was not based on research that was credible.

Q. Was there any testing done that you know of to determine the impact of the cardboard boxes to act as a barrier of the ultrasonic waves?

A. Not other than it's been known for many years that ultrasound does not penetrate solid objects. In the case of specifically of the Bell & Howell devices, Dr. Mankin placed a half inch thick corkboard in front of the ultrasonic device which greatly reduced its amplitude and its waves and there's been other studies like that so we did not specifically evaluate the cardboard boxes, but you notice from the diagram and the photographs that we did not place a cardboard box directly in front of the device.

Q. How far was the cardboard box placed in front of the device in the Sierra

POTTER

qualifications I just said, I would say that we did not do specific testing on these cardboard boxes in terms of deterring the waves of these devices, but they again were not in line with the devices so it's kind of a moot point.

- Q. But I take it from what Dr. Mankin did, you would expect that the cardboard boxes would act as a barrier to ultrasonic sound waves, fair?
 - A. I would, yes.
- Q. Did you do in this case any testing with respect to whether the bait stations that were used by Sierra would act as a barrier to the Bell & Howell ultrasonic waves?
- A. We did not because it really was an irrelevant question because we didn't put the bait stations or the boxes in front of the devices.
- Q. I take it though based on what Dr. Mankin did, you would expect that the bate stations would act as a barrier to the Bell & Howell ultrasonic waves, fair?



1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. If they were in direct line	2	looking at
3	with the device, yes.	3	A. If we had no untreated controls
4	Q. Do you know of any repeller in	4	or no replication as was done in the China
5	the market today that would repel rodents	5	studies, these would all be legitimate
6	when a bait station and cardboard harborage	6	questions, but they don't concern me because
7	is placed in a room and food and water is	7	we did have them.
8	supplied to the rodents?	8	Q. Sierra also provided
9	 I don't know any repeller on 	9	handwritten notes with respect to the
10	the market that would repel rodents under any	10	counting of the mice in the apartments. It's
11	circumstances and I think that's what the	11	further down where they provided the counts
12	past literature shows and what these studies	12	that they did in the morning and afternoon?
13	showed so I guess the answer is no with that	13	A. Okay.
14	qualifier.	14	Q. I take it the summary document
15	Q. If you turn the pages on	15	that we saw as part of Exhibit 3, that
16	Exhibit 3, I'm looking at a page that has	16	essentially just is a summary of what is in
17	total number of mice counted and percentage	17	these handwritten notes; would you agree with
18	of distribution, that's something that	18	that?
19	someone at Sierra created?	19	 A. Yes, this document we just
20	A. Yes.	20	talked about with the 14 days with the
21	Q. I take it 12 mice were put into	21	assessments made twice a day is a compilation
22	these apartments by Sierra, correct?	22	of these results.
23	A. Initially, yes.	23	Q. Do you know actually the
24	Q. And then at some point Sierra	24	handwritten notes are even further from the
25	would go in and count the mice to see which	25	counting?
	105		107
	105		107
1		1	
1 2	POTTER	1 2	POTTER
2	POTTER room they were in either the back room or	1 2 3	POTTER A. Okay, correct.
2	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct?	2	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered
2 3 4	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct.	2 3	POTTER A. Okay, correct.
2 3 4 5	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the	2 3 4 5	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes.
2 3 4	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the	2 3 4	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How?
2 3 4 5 6	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room?	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's instructions for this size space. It didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in an open door pantry in the corners and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's instructions for this size space. It didn't concern me as I said that the back room was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in an open door pantry in the corners and then they were instructed to carefully lift up the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's instructions for this size space. It didn't concern me as I said that the back room was a different dimension than the front room in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in an open door pantry in the corners and then they were instructed to carefully lift up the cardboard box and some of the mice were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's instructions for this size space. It didn't concern me as I said that the back room was a different dimension than the front room in this particularly designed study because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in an open door pantry in the corners and then they were instructed to carefully lift up the cardboard box and some of the mice were nesting between the cardboard box and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER room they were in either the back room or front room, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did it concern you that the front room was three times larger than the back room? MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered. A. First of all, the thing that concerned me the most was that the ultrasonic output of the devices was deemed sufficient by the manufacturer instructions based on the square footage of where they were installed and as I said previously that in this particular instance two devices is more than adequate based on the manufacturer's instructions for this size space. It didn't concern me as I said that the back room was a different dimension than the front room in this particularly designed study because again the rodents clearly did not avoid the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER A. Okay, correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra entered the apartments to do the counting? A. Yes. Q. How? A. The inspector was instructed to go into the apartment and try to use the minimal degree of disruption possible because again these are vacant apartments, you have a dozen or so mice running all over the place so they were instructed to carefully observe the room as they came in for any presence of mice that were visible. In some cases they were not in the harborages, they were, for example, I think there is a photograph showing some in an open door pantry in the corners and then they were instructed to carefully lift up the cardboard box and some of the mice were nesting between the cardboard box and the plastic bait station within and count those

POTTER

the idea was to not spend an hour in every apartment for a variety of reasons, but the most crucial one from a science reason is to not be disturbing the location of the mice anymore than is possible.

- Q. If the mice in the front room of the apartments were inside the bait station and the harborages to seek protection from the repeller, would you consider the repeller to be effective?
- A. No. First of all, the thing that mice want more than anything is a protected place, a harborage, a space, a void, a pile of boxes in your garage and in a vacant apartment like this we took away all of the prime harborage locations other than these boxes so no, the natural tendency of these mice is to go into these boxes, but being territorial and there's going to be only so many mice that will accommodate other mice within those boxes, some ended up nesting or residing in other locations in the apartment corners and so forth.

Q. You considered mice inside the

POTTER

- Q. The ultrasonic waves of the Bell & Howell device could not penetrate that wood cabinet, would you agree with me?
- A. Correct, if they were not oriented directly into the opening.
- Q. Wouldn't mice that are found in that cabinet, wouldn't you assume that they are seeking shelter from the ultrasonic waves of the Bell & Howell device?
- A. If they were seeking shelter from the ultrasonic device, all of the replications and the untreated controls would have demonstrated that these mice would only have been in those areas and probably disproportionately on the backside because again these mice are constantly moving around. They are not like in those cabinets.

You could see that these mice were bringing resources back into the cabinets so they are out there in the open feeding on the food dishes, feeding on the water, whether they are nesting in the back bedroom or the front bedroom and that's the way mice behave in a residential setting.

POTTER

bait station which was covered by the cardboard box to have — in the front room to have not been repelled even though the ultrasonic sound waves could not penetrate the cardboard box and the bait station, fair?

A. Correct and again the supposition is that these mice are constantly foraging looking for food and resources. They go to resources constantly in the course of a day or a night so it was not like mouse was in this box for 14 days. They were moving all around and I think the web camera stuff demonstrate that as well.

Q. There was a cabinet in the front room of the apartments where the repeller was located, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Did that -- what was that cabinet made of, do you know?

A. From the pictures it looks like wood. It's actually sort of a pantry. These are small apartments and the front room sort of blended into the kitchen area before they put that divider up.

POTTER

- Q. If the mice were in the kitchen cabinet, would you assume then that the mice are seeking shelter from the repeller?
 - A. No, I would not assume that.
 - Q. Why not?

A. Based on the totality of the other data in this study and 50 years worth of other research, peer reviewed work that shows that these rodents are not impacted by ultrasonics.

Again, we did have a temporary effect for five days and it treated so there does definitely appear to be some effect of the device, but they quickly habituated.

To answer your question, I cannot prove with absolute certainty that the mice in the cabinet were not somewhat being impacted, but clearly as a practical matter it doesn't effect the inability of these devices to drive pests out of an area or of a dwelling because if you look at the picture, there is an ultrasonic device, two ultrasonic devices cross wise within a foot or two of where these mice were nesting.

		1	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	What good does it do to move	2	it was considered repelled.
3	even if it did move the mice over, what good	3	Q. I'm looking at the handwritten
4	does it do to move a mouse over 12 inches in	4	notes from Sierra which are dated October
5	the kitchen. How do these devices drive	5	12th, the evaluation time is 9:20 a.m., do
6	pests out of a dwelling, that one I'm still	6	you see that? I think it was the first day of
7	struggling with. Where do they go?	7	the study.
8	Q. Sir, this is my only	8	A. October 12th, 9:20 a.m., yes.
9	opportunity to ask you questions and then I	9	Q. They found five mice located in
10	want to get out of here and go back home so I	10	the harborages of the front room, correct?
11	understand you are intrigued, you have a lot	11	A. Which apartment are we talking
12	to say about the case and all that and maybe	12	about?
13	if they ever make a movie of this case, it	13	Q. I'm talking about all three
14	will be more interesting, but for now if we	14	apartments where the Bell & Howell devices
15	can just answer my questions so we can get	15	were in place?
16	out of this thing.	16	A. Okay, you are looking at the
17	MR. KOPEL: Give full and	17	sum at the bottom?
18	complete answers. You do not need to	18	
19		19	Q. Yes.
l	limit your answers.		A. Correct.
20	A. I'm trying to provide	20	Q. If you look at the summary,
21	clarification of my opinions because this	21	this summary here, Sierra wrote that there
22	stuff is more complicated.	22	were eight mice found in the front room, do
23	Q. I take it Sierra Lab in	23	you see that?
24	conducting its count, it counted mice in the	24	A. I'm trying to get the days
25	kitchen cabinet as not being repelled,	25	right here.
l	113	ŀ	
	III		115
,		-1	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	POTTER correct?	2	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so
2 3	POTTER correct? A. Correct.	2	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item?
2 3 4	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were	2 3 4	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it.
2 3 4 5	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard	2 3 4 5	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was
2 3 4 5 6	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being
2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether that was I would have to look at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I think if we go back to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether that was I would have to look at that specific mouse and see where it was scored	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I think if we go back to Q. I'm staying I would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether that was I would have to look at that specific mouse and see where it was scored and why it was scored that way, but yes, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I think if we go back to Q. I'm staying I would like to stay on this document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether that was I would have to look at that specific mouse and see where it was scored and why it was scored that way, but yes, if the mice were present either within a harborage or somewhere else in the apartment,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I think if we go back to — Q. I'm staying — I would like to stay on this document. A. But the other three mice were counted for in the front room in the comments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were inside bait stations and the cardboard harborages of the front room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. Q. It counted mice that were in the hallway by the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. Correct. There was a reason for that. Q. It also counted mice that were stuck in a doorjamb in the back room as not being repelled, correct? A. I would have to know whether that was I would have to look at that specific mouse and see where it was scored and why it was scored that way, but yes, if the mice were present either within a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER Q. This is day one of the study so it would be the first item? A. Got it. Q. They counted a mouse that was dead in the back hallway as not being repelled, fair? A. Yeah, again, first of all, the counts that you are showing on this page with the notes is the front room and the back room in the boxes and then they have comments on each of these valuation dates in terms of other mice that were found and where they were located and if you tally those with these, it should tally to this. At least when I went through these, essentially all of them they tallied, they may have all tallied so I think if we go back to Q. I'm staying I would like to stay on this document. A. But the other three mice were

3

3

POTTER

being in the front room, correct?

A. Right.

- Q. So that mouse that's dead you would consider as not being repelled by the Bell & Howell devices, correct?
- A. We discussed this of the handling of dead mice. There were some granted and it was primarily a couple apartments B104 and 108, but the scoring of how do you score a dead mouse that you find in a box in the front room or how do you score a dead mouse that you find in the back room. We decided to score those as that's where they were found and that was where they were scored.

There could be some question as to how did it get there and was it at some point in the back room and the front room, but you have to look at the totality of the data which is very clear in terms of where these mice were in the untreated and the treated groups.

Q. On the next handwritten notes which is their 4:53 p.m. count?

POTTER

opportunity to answer me.

- A. My assumption because I also had to put a sharp pencil to these comments and how they came up with these results and my assumption is if they scored these in the front room or the back room, it was because they deemed looking at this diagram that they would have been on the outside of that doorjamb facing the hallway rather than the back.
- Q. Is it fair to say we don't know, at least you and I don't know at this time what doorjambs Sierra was referring to?
- A. That's correct and I would again question if it wasn't looking at all this other data, it was quite clear the thoroughness of these comments which are pretty darn extensive for this kind of a study and they were consistent with the tabulation of the data and the formation of these graphs so if we are nitpicking something, okay, granted, I don't know in that particular case, but if there is, you know, show me other things that are

POTTER

A. What day?

- Q. October 12th, there were two mice in a doorjamb in the comments in apartment B108?
 - A. Yes
- Q. Do you know which doorjamb the mice were in?
- A. Well, I'll have to do the calculation comparing this to -- I went through every one of these and comparing the data that they -- the raw data handwritten and the transcribed data and the vast majority of them came out to be clear and understandable of why they scored it and how they did, but I'll have to go and look at this one if you want me to.
- Q. If the doorjamb they are referring to is for the back room, wouldn't you consider those mice to have been repelled by the Bell & Howell device?
- A. Not i it was the front doorjamb. If you look at this diagram --
- Q. No, but my question was if it was the back door. I want to give you every

POTTER

problematic with the way they scored this data and transcribed it to the chart and maybe we can --

- Q. If dead mice and mice in the hallway were counted as being repelled or just not counted at all, wouldn't it show greater effectiveness of the Bell & Howell devices with respect to the Sierra test?
- A. There were dead mice in the untreated controls as well I believe. I can't remember the proportionality compared to the treatments and the numbers of dead mice were throughout the course of the experiment while the device was activated were rather small limited primarily to two units.

We still ended up with nine live mice extracted from each of those apartments at the end of the study so it's not like all the mice were dead, but it was a decision that had to be made how do you score a mouse in terms of where it was located.

If it was in the hallway, it was scored as the front room because it was a



POTTER direct line from the repeller in the front of the room straight down the hallway, therefore, we made that decision that based on the distance, it would be reasonable to include the hallway as part of the front room. Have you tried to do the calculations from the Sierra testing by calculating dead mice or mice in the hallways as being repelled what that would look like? First of all, why would we A. score mice in the hallway as being repelled? I take it you have not done that calculation, right? No, I'm sorry. Α. Q. If we did calculate mice in the hallway or dead mice as being repelled, it would show greater effectiveness of the Bell & Howell device, fair?

A. Fair.

Α.

Q. You indicated that there was either 11 or initially there were 12 mice put into at least the majority of these apartments, correct?

POTTER Correct.

Q. One of the apartments they only introduced 11 mice, correct?

A. I would have to go back and look at the records, but the intent was to put 12 in, acclimate them for a week. In some cases some mice died. We did replenish mice before we activated the device to begin the experiment. We never replenished mice after that. If you show me where it says 11, it may have been 11 in one, but the intent was to put 12 mice in each apartment.

Q. The apartments were constructed to prevent the mice from escaping the apartments, correct?

A. As best we could that was the intent of the masonite. It was successful most of the time, but in some cases it wasn't.

Q. Even if the mice wanted to escape, let's just assume because of the ultrasonic waves of the repeller, they really could not except to go into the back room, the kitchen cabinets or the bait stations,

POTTER

fair?

A. Yeah, they would escape to the back room which is what they were shown in the untreated controls if they were trying to get away from the device.

Q. All of the mice in the apartments were not actually discovered on numerous days during this testing, correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Why not?

A. Because this is a real world experiment. As I said, they spent — they tried not to be destructive in their assessments in the count so they had a limited amount of time in the apartment. They didn't pry back masonite to see — in some cases some of these mice chewed through the bottom of the baseboard, but these things are small and cryptic and get into small spaces so the answer is if it was a plastic cube we could have counted, but in the real world it's darn difficult to find and count every last mice, not surprising at all.

Q. Could some of the mice have

POTTER

escaped the apartment?

A. They could have which is why we did a final more of a destructive count at the very end of the study where they removed the masonite panels and I think there is a page in here that talks about the post mortem counting of numbers of mice in the units and the numbers are fairly reflective. There were some that were never recovered, but the numbers were pretty good.

One unit 205 we had problems and it was documented in the comments, a large hole was chewed so several of the mice escaped. 102 had 12 that they recovered, 106 had 10, 104 and 108 had 9 each and 103, 12 alive, four dead, that was the apartment that initially we introduced — we lost a lot of mice so we wanted to be sure we had enough to have that be a reasonable experimental unit so we probably added more than 12 which is why we have a number that's basically higher than the initial 12.

Q. If you go to the October 16, 2017 date and I'm referring to the 4:54 p.m.



1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	comment?	2	Q. That's clearly wrong, right?
3	A. Okay.	3	A. Because you are saying that
4	Q. With respect to the treated	4	I'm going to need a break because I'm
5	apartments, there were ten mice found in the	5	starting to have a hard time doing what
6	two boxes in the front room, correct?	6	should be a simple task here.
7	A. Correct.	7	Q. 19 plus 21 is 40, right?
8	Q. Sierra calculated though 19	8	A. Correct.
9	mice as being in the front room in their	9	Q. There's no way there were 40
10	summary, correct?	10	mice found in the three apartments with the
11	A. Is this the table?	11	Bell & Howell device, correct?
12	Q. October 16th, yes.	12	A. You are saying if they only
13	A. This is day five?	13	introduced 36 to begin with?
14	Q. Day five, yes.	14	Q. Yes.
15	A. It's the second evaluation so	15	A. But I said there was one
16	your question again?	16	apartment where let me go back to the data
17	Q. Sierra calculated 19 mice as	17	sheet on day zero. You notice in apartment
18	being found in the front room, correct?	18	B104 which was one of the treated apartments
19	A. Correct.	19	they said that some escaped, they had some
20	Q. Again, Sierra included dead	20	holes, they added three new mice and then in
21	mice as well as mice inside the cabinet, the	21	102 I can't explain how the numbers don't
22	wood cabinet, right?	22	add up, but whether it should be 36 or 40,
23	A. Mice that were not in the	23	they clearly added additional mice at the
24	boxes, but were in the front room were	24	beginning of the experiment if they found
25	counted as being in the front room so in the	25	reason to do so like why start an experiment
23	125	23	127
	120		127
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
1 2	100 - 100	1 2	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole
	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct.	2	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they
2	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin	2	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the
2	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the	2	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same
2 3 4	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin	2 3 4	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is
2 3 4 5	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the	2 3 4 5	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world.
2 3 4 5 6	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell	2 3 4 5 6	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are
2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking about the first evaluation or the second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were treated by the Bell & Howell devices?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking about the first evaluation or the second? Q. The second. They calculated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were treated by the Bell & Howell devices? A. Could you repeat that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking about the first evaluation or the second? Q. The second. They calculated finding 40 mice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were treated by the Bell & Howell devices? A. Could you repeat that? Q. Do you know when Sierra if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking about the first evaluation or the second? Q. The second. They calculated finding 40 mice? A. Can you show me in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were treated by the Bell & Howell devices? A. Could you repeat that? Q. Do you know when Sierra if it did at all introduce any mice into the three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER cabinet, along the baseboard, in a corner of the room, correct. Q. In total there were to begin with there should have been 36 mice in the three apartments where the Bell & Howell device were placed, right? A. If we introduced 12 in each of those and I'd have to go back to the first page. Q. And we saw in some of these some mice ended up dying, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how Sierra then counted 40 mice in the treated apartments on October 16, 2017? A. October 16th, are we talking about the first evaluation or the second? Q. The second. They calculated finding 40 mice? A. Can you show me in the treated group 19 in the front room and 21 in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POTTER for example in 205 where they found a hole and two mice when they went in there so they introduced ten more. They tried to start the experiment with proportionately the same numbers of mice to begin things, but this is the real world. You have to remember these are field collected mice, wild mice collected from a poultry house and conditioned or residing for a week or two weeks before they are introduced. Stuff happens. They eat each other. Some might just die from handling, but we tried to start the experiment with a proportionately similar number of mice. Q. Is it fair to say that you don't know when they introduced if they did at all mice into the apartments that were treated by the Bell & Howell devices? A. Could you repeat that? Q. Do you know when Sierra if it

		l	
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. I think the protocol said that	2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	they basically introduced the devices or they	3	(Time Noted: 1:10 p.m.)
4	introduced the mice and then they activated	4	
5	the devices, but let me read this again.	5	MICHAEL POTTER, resumed and
6	Turned on 3:15 p.m. Bell &	6	testified as follows:
7	Howell devices in the front room. First of	7	
8	all, they replenished the mice before they	8	CONTINUED EXAMINATION
9	turned the devices on is my interpretation of	9	BY MR. OSTOJIC:
10	this.	10	Q. Doctor, we're back from our
11	Q. Okay so there should not have	11	lunch break. I wanted to finish up on some
12	been 40 mice in the three apartments on	12	of the testing that Sierra Research Labs did
13	October 16, 2017, correct?	13	on the repellers and mice, okay?
14	A. There might have been 39.	14	A. Okay.
15	Q. Do you know one way or the	15	Q. Was there ever any discussion
16	other?	16	to either remove that wood cabinet in the
17	A. No, I don't.	17	front room or seal it to prevent mice from
18		18	entering?
19	Q. I'm looking at October 19, 2017, the five p.m. count. I show that there	19	A. Not to my knowledge.
20	were three mice found inside the harborages	20	Q. At some point there were rats
21	of the front room, but Sierra calculated 12	21	that got into the apartment, do you recall
22	mice as being in the front room?	22	that?
23	A. Can you — are we talking about	23	A. Yes.
24	the first time?	24	Q. Do you know how the rats got
25	Q. Study day 8, 5:00 p.m.?	25	into the apartments?
	129		131
1	POTTER	1	POTTER
2	A. Okay and the question?	2	A. I have no idea.
3	Q. There are only three found in	3	MR. KOPEL: I think you were in
4	the harborages of the front room, but they	4	the middle of looking at a specific
5	calculated 12 in their chart as being found	5	tabulation just before lunch and Dr.
6	in the front room?	6	Potter might have something to add.
7		7	_
8	•	8	If you don't want to go back to that, that's fine.
	break.	_	
9	MR. OSTOJIC: Okay. Let's take	9	Q. Are you intending on doing
10	a break.	10	further calculations from what Sierra
11	(Luncheon recess taken at	11	Research Labs did in counting mice that were
12	12:25 p.m.)	12	repelled and not repelled depending upon
13		13	where they were located?
14		14	A. No.
15		15	Q. Bobby Corrigan, he initially
16		16	designed the protocol for the testing of the
17		17	Bell & Howell devices with respect to mice,
18		18	correct?
19		19	A. Correct.
20		20	Q. And then Mr. Donohue
21		21	A. Dr. Donohue.
22		22	Q. Dr. Donohue of Sierra Research,
23		23	he inputted or made some revisions to the
24		24	design protocol, correct?
25		25	A. As did I, yes.
	130		132
1			

1.5

POTTER

- Q. Initially it was thought to use house mice for the testing that Sierra Research was going to do, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1.5

- Q. Ultimately wild mice were found and used?
- A. They were house mice, but wild mice. House mice could live in the wild as well so they were field collected wild mice of the species Mus musculus, they were house mice.
- Q. Conducting a test in a barn or a commercial setting would not have been appropriate for these Bell & Howell devices, correct?
 - A. Could you restate the guestion?
- Q. Conducting testing of the Bell & Howell devices in a barn or a commercial setting such as a warehouse would not be appropriate, fair?
- A. No, not necessarily because I think the label talks about using the devices in homes and offices and other places. I don't think they specifically exclude other

POTTER

I wanted to try to accomplish that if we could

- Q. Did the Bell & Howell instructions mention anything about using it in barns or warehouses or kind of agricultural settings or rural settings I should say?
- A. I would have to look at the label. If you have one, I could look at it, but as I recall, it talked about homes and offices and other places so I got the impression that if you want to put these things into a restaurant or a tool shed behind your house assuming it was closed, that there would be no reason why a consumer would not assume that, but I could see the main uses of these things would be probably by householders in residential settings.
- Q. Robert Corrigan in an e-mail to you wrote rural and urban structures are not equal and the environmental variables affecting barns, sheds, livestock buildings are not close to those of a suburban home. Would you agree with that?

POTTER

types of structures provided you use enough of the devices for the square footage.

- Q. On August 17, 2017 you wrote an e-mail where you stated that you would be a bit concerned about getting too far astray from a set up that's not translatable to usage in a residential setting (devices claim to drive pests out of homes, offices, etc.) You wrote that e-mail, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Why did you at that time think that you needed a residential setting rather than barns and such?
- A. I think it was because in talking on the telephone or by e-mail or both with Bill Donohue he mentioned he had some other possibilities for rodent infestation. I think one of them involved a rat infestation if I'm not mistaken and I wanted to be sure that one, we designed this study with adequate replication and untreated controls and an experimental design that would be most reflective of a residential setting where presumably most of these devices were used so

POTTER

- A. I would.
- Q. So testing done in barns, sheds, livestock buildings rather than a residence would not be appropriate for the testing of the Bell & Howell devices to determine its efficacy, fair?

MR. KOPEL: Objection, asked and answered.

A. It would be less desirable, but if you look back on 50 years worth of literature, it's difficult to do studies on these devices in residential settings so you can design your experiments in warehouses and vacant buildings and still get meaningful data on these devices, but again, we wanted to try to make this as close as possible to a residential setting.

Most commercial settings, office buildings, restaurants and so forth have professional pest control services and again the pest control industry over many years has concluded these devices are ineffective so I don't think you would find many of them used in those kinds of

